arXiv:1602.04547v1 [math.GT] 15 Feb 2016

THE TWISTED REIDEMEISTER TORSION OF AN ITERATED TORUS KNOT

HITOSHI MURAKAMI

Dedicated to Professor Taizo Kanenobu, Professor Yasutaka Nakanishi, and Professor Makoto Sakuma for their 60th birthdays

Abstract. We calculate the twisted Reidemeister torsion of the complement of an iterated torus knot associated with a representation of its fundamental group to the complex special linear group of degree two. We also show that the twisted Reidemeister torsions associated with various representations appear in the asymptotic expansion of the colored Jones polynomial.

1. Introduction

Let $J_N(K;q)$ be the colored Jones polynomial of a knot K in the three-sphere S^3 associated with the N-dimensional irreducible representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ [15, 19]. We normalize $J_N(K;q)$ so that it is 1 when K is the unknot. We also let $\Delta(K;t)$ be the Alexander polynomial K that is normalized so that $\Delta(K;1)=1$ and $\Delta(K;t^{-1})=\Delta(K;t)$ [1].

The following conjecture would give a topological interpretation of the colored Jones polynomial.

Conjecture 1.1 (Volume Conjecture [16, 17, 28])**.** *Let K be a knot in S^3. Then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\log\left|J_N(K;\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/N))\right|}{N}=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3\backslash K)}{2\pi},$$

where Vol *means the simplicial volume* (or the Gromov norm [9]). Note that it coincides with the sum of hyperbolic volumes of the hyperbolic pieces in the Jacd–Shalen–Johannson decomposition ([13, 14]) of the knot complement up to constant multiplication.

We can complexify the conjecture as follows.

Conjecture 1.2 (Complexification of the volume conjecture [29])**.** *Let K be a hyperbolic knot and let $\mathrm{CS}(S^3\backslash K)$ be the $SO(3)$ Chern–Simons invariant associated with the holonomy representation of $\pi_1(S^3\backslash K)\to\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\log J_N(K;\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/N))}{N}=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3\backslash K)+\sqrt{-1}\,\mathrm{CS}(S^3\backslash K)}{2\pi}.$$

Here a knot K is called hyperbolic if its complement $S^3\backslash K$ has a complete hyperbolic structure with finite volume. Note that this structure is induced from the holonomy representation.

We can generalize the complexified volume conjecture as follows ([10, 11, 3]).

Date: February 16, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M27 57M25 57M50.

Key words and phrases. knot, torus knot, iterated torus knot, volume conjecture, colored Jones polynomial, Chern–Simons invariant, Reidemeister torsion.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26400079.

Conjecture 1.3. *If K is hyperbolic, then the following asymptotic equivalence holds:*

$$J_N(K;\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/N)) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} 2\pi^{3/2}\operatorname{Tor}(K)^{-1/2}\left(\frac{N}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{3/2} \times \exp\left(\frac{N}{2\pi}\left(\operatorname{Vol}(S^3\backslash K)+\sqrt{-1}\operatorname{CS}(S^3\backslash K)\right)\right),$$

where $\operatorname{Tor}(K)$ *is the homological Reidemeister torsion of* $S^3\backslash \operatorname{Int} N(K)$ *twisted by the adjoint action of the holonomy representation associated with the meridian. Here* $f(N) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} g(N)$ *means that* $f(N) = \bigl(1+o(1)\bigr)g(N)$ *for* $N\to\infty$*, and* $N(k)$ *is the regular neighborhood of* K *in* S^3 *and* Int *denotes the interior.*

This conjecture is proved for the figure-eight knot by J. Andersen and S. Hansen [2] (see also [26, Theorem 1.3]), and for the 5_2 knot by T. Ohtsuki [31]. See also [32] for related topics.

In [11] the following conjecture was proposed for a further generalization:

Conjecture 1.4. *Suppose that K is a hyperbolic knot. Then we have*

$$J_N\left(K;\exp\bigl(2\pi\sqrt{-1}+u\bigr)/N\right) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{\sqrt{-\pi}}{2\sinh(u/2)}\operatorname{Tor}(K;u)^{-1/2}\left(\frac{N}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}+u}\right)^{1/2}\exp\left(\frac{N}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}+u}S(u)\right)$$

if $|u|\neq 0$ *is small enough, where* $\operatorname{Tor}(K;u)$ *is the homological Reidemeister torsion of* $S^3\backslash \operatorname{Int} N(K)$ *twisted by the adjoint action of the representation* ρ_u *parametrized by* u*, associated with the meridian* μ*, and* $S(u)$ *determines the* $\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ *Chern–Simons invariant of* ρ_u*. Note that* ρ_0 *is the holonomy representation.*

This conjecture is proved in [24] for the case where K is the figure-eight knot and u is real. See also [30, 10, 5, 4, 37].

A knot is called simple if every incompressible torus in its complement is boundary parallel. Any hyperbolic knot is known to be simple. If a knot is simple and non-hyperbolic, then it is a torus knot. For coprime positive integers c and d, let $T(c,d)$ be the torus knot of type (c,d). Related to Conjecture 1.3, R. Kashaev and O. Tirkkonen proved the following asymptotic expansion [18].

Theorem 1.5.

$$\begin{aligned} &J_N\bigl(T(c,d);\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/N)\bigr)\\ &=\left(\frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2cd}\left(\frac{N}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)^{3/2}\sum_{k=1}^{cd-1}(-1)^{k+1}k^2\tau(k)\exp\left(S(2\pi\sqrt{-1};k)\frac{N}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\right)\right.\\ &\qquad\left.+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_j}{j!}\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{4cdN}\right)^{j-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(\xi;k) := \frac{-(2k\pi\sqrt{-1}-cd\xi)^2}{4cd},$$

and

$$\tau(k) := (-1)^{k+1}\frac{4\sin(k\pi/c)\sin(k\pi/d)}{\sqrt{cd}},$$

and a_j is the $2j$-th derivative of $2z\sinh z/\Delta\big(T(c,d);e^{2z}\big)$ at $z=0$ with $\Delta(K;t)$ the normalized Alexander polynomial of a knot K.

In [7] J. Dubois and Kashaev proved that $S(2\pi\sqrt{-1};k)$ is the Chern–Simons invariant and τ_k^{-2} is the homological twisted Reidemeister torsion both of which are associated with a representation $\rho_k : \pi_1(S^3\backslash T(c,d)) \to \mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ parametrized by an integer k $(0<k<cd)$ (see Theorem 1.6).

As for Conjecture 1.4, K. Hikami and the author proved the following theorem in [12].

Theorem 1.6 ([12])**.** *Let ξ be a complex number with non-zero real part and non-negative imaginary part. Then we have the following equality as $N\to\infty$:*

$$J_N\big(T(c,d);\exp(\xi/N)\big) = \frac{1}{\Delta\big(T(c,d);\exp\xi\big)} + \frac{\sqrt{-\pi}}{2\sinh(\xi/2)}\sqrt{\frac{N}{\xi}}\sum_k \tau(k)\exp\left(\frac{N}{\xi}S(\xi;k)\right) + o(1).$$

Moreover $\tau(k)^{-2}$ is the homological Reidemeister torsion of $S^3\backslash \operatorname{Int} N(T(c,d))$ twisted by the adjoint action of an irreducible representation $\rho_k\colon \pi_1(S^3\backslash \operatorname{Int} N(T(c,d))) \to \mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ associated with the meridian μ, and $S(\xi;k)$ is related to the $\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ Chern–Simons invariant of $S^3\backslash \operatorname{Int} N(T(c,d))$ associated with ρ_k, where $N(K)$ denotes the regular neighborhood of K in S^3 and Int *denotes the interior,* $\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ *is the set of two by two complex matrices with determinants one.*

See [12, 25] for more details.

For non-negative integers a and b, let $T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$ be the $(2,2b+1)$-cable of the torus knot of type $(2,2a+1)$ (see Figure 1).



FIGURE 1. $(2,2b+1)$-cable of the torus knot of type $(2,2a+1)$

Remark 1.7. Note that in Figure 1 there are $2b+1-2(2a+1)$ half-twists. This is because since the torus knot $T(2,2a+1)$ has $2a+1$ crossings, the torus along $T(2,2a+1)$ is given $2(2a+1)$ half-twists.

We assume that $2b+1 > 4(2a+1)$. In the paper [27], the author gave the following equality as $N \to \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_N\left(T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)};\exp(\xi/N)\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{\Delta\left(T(2,2a+1)^{2b+1};\exp\xi\right)}\\
&+\frac{\sqrt{-\pi}}{2\sinh(\xi/2)}\sqrt{\frac{N}{\xi}}\sum_j \tau_1(\xi;j)\exp\left[\frac{N}{\xi}S_1(\xi;j)\right]\\
&+\frac{\sqrt{-\pi}}{2\sinh(\xi/2)}\sqrt{\frac{N}{\xi}}\sum_k \tau_2(\xi;k)\exp\left(\frac{N}{\xi}S_2(\xi;k)\right)\\
&+\frac{\pi}{2\sinh(\xi/2)}\frac{N}{\xi}\sum_{l,m}\tau_3(\xi;l,m)\exp\left(\frac{N}{\xi}S_3(\xi;l,m)\right)+o(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_1(\xi;j)&:=(-1)^j\sqrt{\frac{2}{2b+1}}\frac{\sin\left(\frac{2(2j+1)\pi}{2b+1}\right)}{\cos\left(\frac{(2j+1)(2a+1)\pi}{2b+1}\right)},\\
S_1(\xi;j)&:=(2j+1)\xi\pi\sqrt{-1}-\frac{(2b+1)\xi^2}{2}+\frac{(2j+1)^2\pi^2}{2(2b+1)},\\
\tau_2(\xi;k)&:=(-1)^{k+1}\sqrt{\frac{2}{2a+1}}\frac{\sin\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2a+1}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{(2b+1-4(2a+1))\xi}{2}\right)},\\
S_2(\xi;k)&:=2(2k+1)\xi\pi\sqrt{-1}-2(2a+1)\xi^2+\frac{(2k+1)^2\pi^2}{2(2a+1)},\\
\tau_3(\xi;l,m)&:=(-1)^{l+m}\frac{4}{\sqrt{(2a+1)(2b+1-4(2a+1))}}\sin\left(\frac{(2m+1)\pi}{2a+1}\right),\\
S_3(\xi;l,m)&:=(2l+1)\xi\pi\sqrt{-1}-\frac{(2b+1)\xi^2}{2}\\
&\quad+\frac{\pi^2\bigl((2l+1)^2(2a+1)+(2m+1)^2(2b+1)-4(2l+1)(2m+1)(2a+1)\bigr)}{2(2a+1)(2b+1-4(2a+1))}.
\end{aligned}$$

See [27] for the ranges of the summations.

If we use another normalization $\tilde{J}_N(K;q) := J_N(K;q)(q^{1/2}-q^{-1/2})$, then we the formula above becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{J}_N\left(T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)};\exp(\xi/N)\right)\\
=&\tau_0(\xi)+\sqrt{-\pi}\sqrt{\frac{N}{\xi}}\sum_j\tau_1(\xi;j)\exp\left(\frac{N}{\xi}S_1(\xi;j)\right)\\
&+\sqrt{-\pi}\sqrt{\frac{N}{\xi}}\sum_k\tau_2(\xi;k)\exp\left(\frac{N}{\xi}S_2(\xi;k)\right)\\
&+\pi\frac{N}{\xi}\sum_{l,m}\tau_3(\xi;l,m)\exp\left(\frac{N}{\xi}S_3(\xi;l,m)\right)+o(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\tau_0(\xi):=\frac{2\sinh(\xi/2)}{\Delta(T(2,2a+1)^{2b+1};\exp\xi)}.$$

We studied the $\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ Chern–Simons invariants of various representations of $\pi_1(T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)})$ and concluded that $S_1(\xi;j)$, $S_2(\xi;k)$ and $S_3(\xi;l,m)$ determine these invariants. We also studied the twisted Reidemeister torsion of those representations and found (non-rigorous) relations to $\tau_1(\xi;j)$, $\tau_2(\xi;k)$ and $\tau_3(\xi;l,m)$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove that $\tau_1(\xi;j)^{-2}$, $\tau_2(\xi;k)^{-2}$ and $\tau_3(\xi;l,m)^{-2}$ are indeed the twisted Reidemeister torsions.

Let B be the solid torus $D^2\times S^1$ and L be the knot in B traveling along the direction of S^1 twice with $2b+1$ half-twists as depicted in Figure 2. If $f\colon B\to$



FIGURE 2. Pattern knot in a solid torus

S^3 is an embedding so that $f(B)=N(T(2,2a+1))$, then $T(2,2a+1)^{(2;2b+1)}$ is equivalent to the knot $\varphi(L)\subset S^3$. If we put $E:=S^3\backslash\operatorname{Int}N(T(2,2a+a)^{(2,2b+1)})$, $C:=S^3\backslash\operatorname{Int}N(T(2,2a+1))$, and $D:=B\backslash\operatorname{Int}N(L)$, then $E=C\bigcup_S D$, where $S=C\cap D=\partial C=\partial B$.

(1.3)



Put $\Sigma:=\partial N(T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)})$.

Let ρ_ξ^{AA}, $\rho_{\xi,j}^{\mathrm{AN}}$, $\rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}}$, and $\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}}$ be representations of $\pi_1(E,v)$ to $\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ defined in §4, where $v\in S$ is the basepoint. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.8. *The quantities $\tau_0(\xi)$, $\tau_1(\xi;j)$, $\tau_2(\xi,k)$, and $\tau_3(\xi;l,m)$ given in* (1.1) *are the homological Reidemeister torsions of E twisted by the adjoint actions of ρ_ξ^{AA}, $\rho_{\xi,j}^{\mathrm{AN}}$, $\rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}}$, and $\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}}$, respectively. More precisely we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_0(\xi)^{-2} &= \mathrm{Tor}\left(E;\rho_\xi^{\mathrm{AA}}\right),\\
\tau_1(\xi;j)^{-2} &= \mathrm{Tor}\left(E;\rho_{\xi,j}^{\mathrm{AN}}\right),\\
\tau_2(\xi;k)^{-2} &= \mathrm{Tor}\left(E;\rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}}\right),\\
\tau_3(\xi;l,m)^{-2} &= \mathrm{Tor}\left(E;\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover the torsions above are associated with the following bases of the twisted homologies of E:

- $\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho_{\xi,j}^{\mathrm{AN}})$ *is associated with*
  - $\{[\Sigma]\otimes V\}$ *for* $H_2(E;\rho_{\xi,j}^{\mathrm{AN}})=\mathbb{C}$, *and*
  - $\{\mu\otimes V\}$ *for* $H_1(E;\rho_{\xi,j}^{\mathrm{AN}})=\mathbb{C}$,
- $\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}})$ *is associated with*
  - $\{[\Sigma]\otimes W\}$ *for* $H_2(E;\rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}})=\mathbb{C}$, *and*
  - $\{\mu\otimes W\}$ *for* $H_1(E;\rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}})=\mathbb{C}$,
- $\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}})$ *is associated with*
  - $\{[S]\otimes\tilde{U},[\Sigma]\otimes\tilde{V}\}$ *for* $H_2(E;\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}})=\mathbb{C}^2$, *and*
  - $\{\mu\otimes\tilde{V},\gamma\}$ *for* $H_1(E;\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}})=\mathbb{C}^2$.

Here

- μ *is the meridian of* $T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$,
- $[S]$ *and* $[\Sigma]$ *are the fundamental class of* $H_2(S;\mathbb{Z})$ *and* $H_2(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z})$, *respectively,*
- $V\in\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ *is invariant under the adjoint action of the image of any element in* $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ *by* $\rho_{\xi,j}^{\mathrm{AN}}$,
- $W\in\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ *is invariant under the adjoint action of the image of any element in* $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ *by* $\rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}}$,
- $\tilde{U}\in\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ *is invariant under the adjoint action of the image of any element in* $\pi_1(S)$ *by* $\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}}$,
- $\tilde{V}\in\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ *is invariant under the adjoint action of the image of any element in* $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ *by* $\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}}$, *and*
- $\gamma\in H_1(E;\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}})$ *is an element such that* $\delta_1(\gamma)=[\tilde{v}\otimes\tilde{U}]\in H_0(S;\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}})$, *where* $\delta_1\colon H_1(E;\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}})\to H_0(S;\rho_{\xi,l,m}^{\mathrm{NN}})$ *is the connecting homomorphism associated with the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence of the triple* (C,D,S) *with* $S:=C\cap D$, *and* $\tilde{U}\in\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ *is invariant under the adjoint action of any element in* $\pi_1(S)$.

Acknowledgments. Part of this work was done when the author was visiting the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and Université Paris Diderot. The author thanks them for their hospitality.

I owe much of the calculations in this paper to Mathematica [36].

2. Definition of the Reidemeister torsion

Let M be a compact manifold and $\pi_1(M)$ be its fundamental group with basepoint v. Let $\rho\colon\pi_1(M)\to\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ be a representation. We will recall the definition of the Reidemeister torsion $\mathrm{Tor}(M;\rho)$ of M associated with ρ. See [6, 33] for details.

If we let $\tilde{M}$ be the universal cover of M, then $\pi_1(M)$ acts on $\tilde{M}$ as the deck transformation. The adjoint action $\mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(g)}$ of $\rho(g)$ ($g\in\pi_1(M)$ on $\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ is defined by $\mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(g)}(x):=\rho(g)^{-1}x\rho(g)\in\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ ($x\in\mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$). Put $C_i(M;\rho):=$

$C_i(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]} \mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$, where $\pi_1(M)$ acts on $C_i(\tilde{M})$ by the covering transformation and on $\mathfrak{sl}(2l\mathbb{C})$ by the adjoint action. We denote by $H_i(M;\rho)$ the homology of the chain complex $\cdots \to C_{i+1}(M;\rho) \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} C_i(M;\rho) \xrightarrow{\partial_i} C_{i-1}(M;\rho) \to \cdots$.

Put

- $C_i := C_i(M;\rho)$,
- $B_i := \operatorname{Im} \partial_{i+1}$,
- $Z_i := \operatorname{Ker} \partial_i$,
- $H_i := H_i(M;\rho)$.

Note that $H_i = Z_i/B_i$. Let

- $\mathbf{c}_i := \{c_{i,1}, c_{i,2}, \dots, c_{i,l_i}\}$ be a basis of C_i,
- $\mathbf{b}_i := \{b_{i,1}, b_{i,2}, \dots, b_{i,m_i}\}$ be a set of vectors such that $\{\partial_i(b_{i,1}), \partial_i(b_{i,2}), \dots, \partial_i(b_{i,m_i})\}$ forms a basis of B_{i-1},
- $\mathbf{h}_i := \{h_{i,1}, h_{i,2}, \dots, h_{i,n_i}\}$ be a basis of H_i, and
- $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_i := \{\tilde{h}_{i,1}, \tilde{h}_{i,2}, \dots, \tilde{h}_{i,n_i}\}$ be a set of vectors such that $\tilde{h}_{i,k}$ is a lift of $h_{i,k}$ in Z_i.

Then it can be easily seen that $\partial_{i+1}(\mathbf{b}_{i+1}) \cup \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_i \cup \mathbf{b}_i$ forms a basis of C_i.

Denote by $[\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{v}]$ the determinant of the basis change matrix from $\mathbf{u}$ to $\mathbf{v}$ for two bases $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ of a vector space. Put

$$\operatorname{Tor}(C_*, \mathbf{c}_*, \mathbf{h}_*) := \prod_i \left[\partial_{i+1}(\mathbf{b}_{i+1}) \cup \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_i \cup \mathbf{b}_i \;\middle|\; \mathbf{c}_i\right]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \in \mathbb{C}^*. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that this does not depend on the choices of $\mathbf{b}_i$ nor the choices of lifts of $\mathbf{h}_i$ (see for example [34]).

Now we consider the case where M is a knot complement $S^3 \setminus \operatorname{Int} N(K)$.

Let $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}\rangle$ be a Wirtinger presentation of $\Pi := \pi_1(M)$. Since the Reidemeister torsion depends only on the simple homotopy type (see [33, p. 10, Remarque (b)],[23]) and the Whitehead group of a knot exterior is trivial [35], we can calculate the torsion regarding M as a CW-complex $\mathcal{K}$ with one 0-cell v, n 1-cells $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$, and $(n-1)$ 2-cells $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}$. Here the 2-cell f_j is attached to the bouquet $v \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i)$ so that its boundary ∂f_j presents the word r_j.

Since our CW-complex is 2-dimensional the Reidemeister torsion becomes

$$\frac{\left[\partial_2(\mathbf{b}_2) \cup \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1 \cup \mathbf{b}_1 \;\middle|\; \mathbf{c}_1\right]}{\left[\partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1) \cup \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0 \;\middle|\; \mathbf{c}_0\right]\left[\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2 \cup \mathbf{b}_2 \;\middle|\; \mathbf{c}_2\right]}.$$

See Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. Chain complex and its basis

Following [33, Définition 0.4], we choose geometric bases for C_i as follows. Let $\tilde{v}$ be a lift of the 0-cell v, $\tilde{x}_j$ a lift of x_j $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$, and $\tilde{f}_k$ a lift of f_k $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1)$. If we put $E := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $H := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $F := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{E, H, F\}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Note that we can use any basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to define geometric bases since the Euler characteristic of a knot complement is zero (see [33, Définition 0.5]). We choose $\{\tilde{v} \otimes E, \tilde{v} \otimes H, \tilde{v} \otimes F\}$ as a geometric basis for C_0, $\{\tilde{x}_1 \otimes E, \tilde{x}_1 \otimes H, \tilde{x}_1 \otimes F, \tilde{x}_2 \otimes E, \tilde{x}_2 \otimes H, \tilde{x}_2 \otimes F, \ldots, \tilde{x}_n \otimes E, \tilde{x}_n \otimes H, \tilde{x}_n \otimes F\}$ as a geometric basis for C_1, and $\{\tilde{f}_1 \otimes E, \tilde{f}_1 \otimes H, \tilde{f}_1 \otimes F, \tilde{f}_2 \otimes E, \tilde{f}_2 \otimes H, \tilde{f}_2 \otimes F, \ldots, \tilde{f}_{n-1} \otimes E, \tilde{f}_{n-1} \otimes H, \tilde{f}_{n-1} \otimes F\}$ as a geometric basis for C_2.

With respect to these bases the differentials ∂_2 and ∂_1 are given by the Fox free differential calculus (see [21, Chapter 11] for example).

Let G_n be the free group generated by $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $\Phi\colon G_n \to \Pi$ the quotient map sending $x_i \in G_n$ to $x_i \in \Pi$. Let $\mathrm{Ad}_\rho(x_i)$ be the 3×3 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_1 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H)_1 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_1 \\ \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_2 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\phi(x_i))}(H)_2 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_2 \\ \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_3 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H)_3 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E) &= \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_1 E + \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_2 H + \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_3 F, \\ \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H) &= \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H)_1 E + \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H)_2 H + \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H)_3 F, \\ \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F) &= \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_1 E + \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_2 H + \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_3 F. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\colon \mathbb{Z}[G_n] \to \mathbb{Z}[G_n]$ the Fox derivative [8], the differential ∂_2 is given by the following $3(n-1) \times 3n$ matrix:

$$\partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial r_{n-1}}{\partial x_1}\right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_n}\right) & \cdots & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial r_{n-1}}{\partial x_n}\right) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here Φ is regarded as a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[G_n] \to \mathbb{Z}[\Pi]$. The differential ∂_1 is given by the following $3 \times 3n$ matrix:

$$\partial_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(x_1 - 1) & \cdots & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(x_n - 1) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

3. Fundamental group

Let $T(2, 2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$ be the $(2, 2b+1)$-cable of the torus knot of type $(2, 2a+1)$ (Figure 1). Denote by $E := S^3 \setminus \mathrm{Int}\, N\left(T(2, 2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}\right)$ the complement of the interior of the regular neighborhood of $T(2, 2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$, where N means the regular neighborhood in the three-sphere S^3 and Int means the interior. Then E can be decomposed into D and C, where $D := S^3 \setminus \mathrm{Int}\, N(T(2, 2a+1))$ and C is the knot in a solid torus depicted in Figure 2. Put $S := D \cap C = \partial D = \partial C$. In other words $T(2, 2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$ is the $(2, 2b+1)$-cable of $T(2, 2a+1)$, or the satellite knot of $T(2, 2a+1)$ with companion the torus knot of type $(2, 2b+1)$ on S.

We calculate the fundamental group of E. For more details see [27, §1.3].

Let x and y be the generators of the fundamental group $\pi_1(C)$ of C as indicated in Figure 4, where the basepoint is on $S = \partial C$. Then we can easily see that $\pi_1(C)$ is presented as follows:

$$\pi_1(C) = \langle x, y \mid (xy)^a x = y(xy)^a \rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

Let p, q, and r be the generators of $\pi_1(D)$ as indicated in Figure 5 with the basepoint on $S = \partial D$ as before. Then we have



FIGURE 4. Generators of $\pi_1(C)$



FIGURE 5. Generators of $\pi_1(D)$

$$\pi_1(D) = \langle p, q, r \mid (pq)r = r(pq), r(pq)^b p = q(pq)^b r \rangle.$$

If we give left b full-twists to the solid torus, we have Figure 6. Then the element t indicated in Figure 6 equals $r(pq)^b$. From this picture it is not hard to see $q = tpt^{-1}$. Therefore we can reduce the presentation of $\pi_1(D)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_1(D) \\
&= \left\langle p, q, r, t \mid (pq)r = r(pq), r(pq)^b p = q(pq)^b r, r = t(pq)^{-b}, q = tpt^{-1} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle p, t \;\middle|\; ptpt^{-1}t\left(ptpt^{-1}\right)^{-b} = t\left(ptpt^{-1}\right)^{-b} ptpt^{-1}, \right. \\
&\qquad \left. tp = tpt^{-1}(ptpt^{-1})^b t\left(ptpt^{-1}\right)^{-b} \right\rangle \qquad (3.2) \\
&= \langle p, t \mid ptpt = tptp \rangle .
\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 6. Twist the solid torus in Figure 5 b times counterclockwise

Note that the last presentation is the Wirtinger presentation of the torus link of type $(2, 4)$ as depicted in Figure 7. In fact, it can be easily seen that the complement of the knot in the solid torus of Figure 6 is homeomorphic to the complement of the $(2, 4)$ torus link.



FIGURE 7. $(2, 4)$ torus link

Let $i^C\colon S \hookrightarrow C$, $i^D\colon S \hookrightarrow D$, $j^C\colon C \hookrightarrow E$, and $j^D\colon D \hookrightarrow E$ be the inclusion maps as in (3.3).

(3.3)



If we denote by λ_C the preferred longitude of $T(2,2a+1)$, then its homotopy class is given by

$$\lambda_C = y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1},$$

which is identified with $r = t\left(ptpt^{-1}\right)^{-b} \in \pi_1(D)$. The homotopy class of the meridian μ_C is given by x.

So from (3.1), (3.2) and van Kampen's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_1(E)\\
=&\pi_1(C) \underset{\pi_1(S)}{*} \pi_1(D)\\
=&\Big\langle x,y,p,t \;\Big|\; (xy)^a x = y(xy)^a, ptpt = tptp, y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1} = t\left(ptpt^{-1}\right)^{-b},\\
&\qquad\qquad x = ptpt^{-1}\Big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we do not need the second relation because from the third and the fourth relations we have $t = y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1}x^b = y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a+b-1}$ and $ptp = xt = (xy)^{2a+1}x^{-4a+b-1}$, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&t\cdot ptp\cdot t^{-1}\cdot (ptp)^{-1}\\
=&y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a+b-1}\cdot (xy)^{2a+1}x^{-4a+b-1}\cdot x^{4a-b+1}(xy)^{-2a}y^{-1}\cdot x^{4a-b+1}(xy)^{-2a-1}\\
=&y(xy)^{2a}x(xy)^{-2a-1}\\
&\text{(from the first relation)}\\
=&(xy)^a x(xy)^a(xy)^{-a}y(xy)^{-a-1}\\
=&1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the following presentation with deficiency one.

$$\pi_1(E) = \langle x,y,p,t \mid (xy)^a x = y(xy)^a, y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1} = t\left(ptpt^{-1}\right)^{-b}, x = ptpt^{-1}\rangle.$$

We can also calculate the homotopy class of the longitude λ of $T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$ as follows (see [27]).

$$\lambda = r(pq)^b pq^{-b}r(pq)^b p^{-3b-1} = \lambda_C x^b pq^{-b}\lambda_C x^b p^{-3b-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

in $\pi_1(E)$. The homotopy class of the meridian of $T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$ is p.

4. Representation

In this section we study representations of $\pi_1(E)$ into $\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathrm{C})$. For a representation $\rho\colon \pi_1(E) \to \mathrm{SL}(2;\mathrm{C})$, we denote its restriction to $\pi_1(C)$ ($\pi_1(D)$, respectively) by ρ_C (ρ_D, respectively). In the following, see [27, §1.3] again for details.

We consider the following four cases: (1) the case where both $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ and $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ are Abelian, (2) the case where $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ is Abelian and $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ is non-Abelian, (3) the case where $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ is non-Abelian and $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ is Abelian, and (4) the case where both $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ and $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ are non-Abelian.

4.1. **Both $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ and $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ are Abelian.** For a complex number z, we put

$$\rho(p) = \rho(q) := \begin{pmatrix} z & 0\\ 0 & z^{-1}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho(x) = \rho(y) := \rho(p)\rho(q) = \begin{pmatrix} z^2 & 0\\ 0 & z^{-2}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the longitude λ_C is null-homologous, we have $\rho(r) = \rho(\lambda_C) = I_2$, where I_2 is the two by two identity matrix. Therefore

$$\rho(t) = \rho\left(r(pq)^b\right) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{2b} & 0\\ 0 & z^{-2b}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the longitude λ of $T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$ is sent to I_2.
We denote by ρ_{ξ}^{AA} be the representation ρ with $z=\exp(\xi/2)$.

4.2. $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ **is Abelian and** $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ **is non-Abelian.** For a complex number z, if we define

$$\rho(p) := \begin{pmatrix} z & 1 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\rho(q) := \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ \omega_2+\omega_2^{-1}-z^2-z^{-2} & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\rho(x)=\rho(y) := \rho(p)\rho(q) = \theta_1^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \omega_2 & z^{-1} \\ 0 & \omega_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\theta_1$$

with $\omega_2^{2b+1}=-1$ ($\omega_2\neq -1$) and

$$\theta_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega_2^{-1}z-z^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then ρ is well defined. Since the longitude λ_C is null-homologous, we have $\rho(r)=\rho(\lambda_C)=I_2$, where I_2 is the two by two identity matrix. Therefore

$$\rho(t)=\rho\left(r(pq)^b\right)=\theta_1^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} \omega_2^b & \frac{\omega_2^b-\omega_2^{-b}}{\omega_2-\omega_2^{-1}}z^{-1} \\ 0 & \omega_2^{-b} \end{pmatrix}\theta_1.$$

The longitude λ of $T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$ is sent to

$$\rho(\lambda)=\begin{pmatrix} -z^{-(2b+1)} & \frac{z^{2b+1}-z^{-(2b+1)}}{z-z^{-1}} \\ 0 & -z^{2b+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by $\rho_{\xi,j}^{\mathrm{AN}}$ be the representation ρ with $z=\exp(\xi/2)$ and $\omega_2=\exp\left(\frac{(2j+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2b+1}\right)$ ($j=0,1,\dots,b-1$).

4.3. $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ **is non-Abelian and** $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ **is Abelian.** If we define

$$\rho(p)=\rho(q) := \begin{pmatrix} z & \frac{1}{z+z^{-1}} \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\rho(x) := \begin{pmatrix} z^2 & 1 \\ 0 & z^{-2} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\rho(y) := \begin{pmatrix} z^2 & 0 \\ \omega_1+\omega_1^{-1}-z^4-z^{-4} & z^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\omega_1^{2a+1}=-1$ ($\omega_1\neq -1$), then ρ is well-defined. Note that the longitude λ_C of C is presented as

$$\rho(\lambda_C)=\rho(y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1})=\begin{pmatrix} -z^{-8a-4} & \frac{z^{8a+4}-z^{-8a-4}}{z^2-z^{-2}} \\ 0 & -z^{8a+4} \end{pmatrix}=-\rho(p)^{-8a-4},$$

which equals $\rho(r)$. We also have

$$\rho(t)=\rho(r(pq)^b)=\begin{pmatrix} -z^{-8a+2b-4} & \frac{z^{8a-2b+4}-z^{-8a+2b-4})}{z^2-z^{-2}} \\ 0 & -z^{8a-2b+4} \end{pmatrix}=-\rho(p)^{-8a+2b-4}.$$

Note that if we put

$$\theta_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{z^2}{z^4-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$\rho(p)=\theta_2^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\theta_2,$$

$$\rho(\lambda_C) = \theta_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -z^{-8a-4} & 0 \\ 0 & -z^{8a+4} \end{pmatrix} \theta_2,$$
$$\rho(t) = \theta_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -z^{-8a+2a-4} & 0 \\ 0 & -z^{8a-2b+4} \end{pmatrix} \theta_2.$$

The longitude λ of $T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$ is sent to

$$\rho(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{-8(2a+1)+(2b+1)} & \frac{z^{-8(2a+1)+(2b+1)} - z^{8(2a+1)-(2b+1)}}{z^2 - z^{-2}} \\ 0 & z^{8(2a+1)-(2b+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \rho(p)^{-8(2a+1)+(2b+1)}.$$

We denote by $\rho^{\mathrm{NA}}_{\xi,k}$ be the representation ρ with $z = \exp(\xi/2)$ and $\omega_1 := \exp\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2a+1}\right)$ $(k = 0, 1, \ldots, a-1)$.

4.4. **Both $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ and $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ are non-Abelian.** If we define

$$\rho(p) := \begin{pmatrix} z & 1 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\rho(q) := \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ \omega_3 + \omega_3^{-1} - z^2 - z^{-2} & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\rho(x) := \rho(pq) = \theta_3^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_3 & 1 \\ 0 & \omega_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \theta_3 = \tilde{\theta}_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_3 & z^{-1} \\ 0 & \omega_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\theta}_1,$$
$$\rho(y) := \theta_3^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_3 & 0 \\ \omega_1 + \omega_1^{-1} - \omega_3^2 - \omega_3^{-2} & \omega_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \theta_3$$

with $\omega_1^{2a+1} = -1$, $\omega_3^{2b+1-4(2a+1)} = -1$ $(\omega_3 \neq -1)$,

$$\tilde{\theta}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega_3^{-1} z - z^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\theta_3 := \begin{pmatrix} z^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\theta}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} z^{1/2} & 0 \\ z^{1/2}\omega_3^{-1} - z^{-3/2} & z^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\tilde{\theta}_1$ is obtained from θ_1 by replacing ω_2 with ω_3. The images of λ_C and t are given as follows:

$$\rho(\lambda_C) = \theta_3^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_3^{-4a-2} & \dfrac{\omega_3^{4a+2} - \omega_3^{-4a-2}}{\omega_3 - \omega_3^{-1}} \\ 0 & -\omega_3^{4a+2} \end{pmatrix} \theta_3$$
$$= \tilde{\theta}_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_3^{-4a-2} & \frac{\omega_3^{4a+2} - \omega_3^{-4a-2}}{\omega_3 - \omega_3^{-1}} z^{-1} \\ 0 & -\omega_3^{4a+2} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\theta}_1$$
$$\rho(t) = \theta_3^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_3^{-4a+b-2} & \dfrac{\omega_3^{4a-b+2} - \omega_3^{-4a+b-2}}{\omega_3 - \omega_3^{-1}} \\ 0 & -\omega_3^{4a-b+2} \end{pmatrix} \theta_3$$
$$= \tilde{\theta}_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_3^{4a-b+1} & \frac{\omega_3^{4a-b+1} - \omega_3^{-4a+b-1}}{\omega_3 - \omega_3^{-1}} z^{-1} \\ 0 & \omega_3^{-4a+b-1} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\theta}_1.$$

The image of the longitude λ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -z^{-4b-2} & \frac{z^{4b+2} - z^{4b-2}}{z - z^{-1}} \\ 0 & -z^{4b+2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by $\rho^{\mathrm{NN}}_{\xi,l,m}$ be the representation ρ with $z = \exp(\xi/2)$, $\omega_1 := \exp\left(\frac{(2m+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2a+1}\right)$ $(m = 0, 1, \dots, a-1)$ and $\omega_3 := \exp\left(\frac{(2l+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2b+1-4(2a+1)}\right)$ $(l = 0, 1, \dots, b-4a-3)$.

5. Reidemeister torsion - Abelian case

In this section we calculate the Reidemeister torsion of $E := S^3 \setminus \operatorname{Int} N(T(2, 2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)})$ twisted by the adjoint action of an Abelian representation ρ. We prove a more general formula of the Reidemeister torsion of an Abelian representation.

Let K be a knot in S^3 and put $E(K) := S^3 \setminus \operatorname{Int} N(K)$. Denote by $\pi_1(E(K))$ the fundamental group of $E(K)$ with basepoint in $\partial E(K)$. We may assume that $\pi_1(E(K))$ has a Wirtinger presentation $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1} \rangle$ so that if we Abelianize it then x_i is sent to the generator $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (see for example [21]). Here we regard $\mathbb{Z}$ as a multiplicative group $\langle t \mid - \rangle$.

Let ρ be the Abelian representation of $\pi_1(E(K))$ into $\mathrm{SL}(2;\mathbb{C})$ sending every x_i to $\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ with $z \neq \pm 1$. Then we have the following proposition, which should be well known to experts. Compare it with [22, Theorem 4].

Proposition 5.1 (Folklore)**.** *The Reidemester torsion* $\mathrm{Tor}(E(K);\rho)$ *of* $E(K)$ *twisted by the adjoint action of the representation* ρ *associated with the meridian is given by*

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E(K);\rho) = \pm \left(\frac{\Delta(K; z^2)}{z - z^{-1}} \right)^2,$$

where $\Delta(K;t)$ *is the Alexander polynomial normalized so that* $\Delta(K;1) = 1$ *and* $\Delta(K;t^{-1}) = \Delta(K;t)$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let* ρ^{AA}_{ξ} *be the representation defined in Subsection 4.1 with* $z = \exp(\xi/2)$. *Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho^{\mathrm{AA}}_{\xi}) = \pm \left(\frac{\Delta(K;\exp\xi)}{2\sinh(\xi/2)} \right)^2 = \pm \tau_0(\xi)^{-2}.$$

Proof of Proposition 5.1. First note that $E(K)$ is simple homotopy equivalent to a cell complex with one 0-cell v (the basepoint), n 1-cells $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$, and $(n-1)$ 2-cells $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}$. Here the 2-cell f_j is attached to the bouquet $v \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i)$ so that its boundary ∂f_j presents the word r_i.

Then as described in Section 2, the differentials of the chain complex $\{0\} \to C_2(E(K);\rho) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(E(K);\rho) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(E(K);\rho) \to \{0\}$ is given as follows:

$$\partial_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial r_{n-1}}{\partial x_1}\right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial x_n}\right) & \cdots & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial r_{n-1}}{\partial x_n}\right) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(x_1 - 1) & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(x_2 - 1) & \cdots & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(x_n - 1) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} X - I_3 & X - I_3 & \cdots & X - I_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c|ccc} z^{-2}-1 & 0 & 0 & z^{-2}-1 & 0 & 0 & & z^{-2}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2-1 & 0 & 0 & z^2-1 & & 0 & 0 & z^2-1 \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here X is the following three by three matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(x_i) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_1 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H)_1 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_1 \\ \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_2 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H)_1 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_2 \\ \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(E)_3 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(H)_1 & \mathrm{Ad}_{\rho(\Phi(x_i))}(F)_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} z^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

since $\rho(x_j) = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ for any j and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_i)^{-1} E \rho(x_i) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^{-2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \rho(x_i)^{-1} H \rho(x_i) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \rho(x_i)^{-1} F \rho(x_i) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ z^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if we let $A(t) := \alpha\left(\dfrac{\partial r_j}{\partial x_i}\right)_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,n \\ j=1,2,\dots,n-1}}$ be the Alexander matrix of K with $\alpha\colon \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(E(K))] \to \mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}]$ the homomorphism induced by the Abelianization, then ∂_2 is given by $A(X)$, where we replace t in $A(t)$ with the 3×3 matrix X (and 1 with I_3). Note also that if we denote by $\tilde{A}(t)$ the $(n-1)\times(n-1)$ matrix obtained from $A(t)$ by removing the first row, then $\det \tilde{A}(t)$ equals the (unnormalized) Alexander polynomial $\tilde{\Delta}(K;t)$ of K. Since X is diagonal with diagonal entries z^{-2}, 1, and z^2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det \tilde{A}(X) &= \det \tilde{A}(z^{-2}) \det \tilde{A}(1) \det \tilde{A}(z^2) \\ &= \tilde{\Delta}(K;z^{-2})\tilde{\Delta}(K;1)\tilde{\Delta}(K;z^2) \\ &= \pm\Delta(K;z^2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that $\operatorname{Ker}\partial_1 = \mathbb{C}^{3n-2}$, generated by $\{\vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_5, \dots, \vec{e}_{3n-1}, \vec{e}_1 - \vec{e}_4, \vec{e}_4 - \vec{e}_7, \dots, \vec{e}_{3n-5} - \vec{e}_{3n-2}, \vec{e}_3 - \vec{e}_6, \vec{e}_6 - \vec{e}_9, \dots, \vec{e}_{3n-3} - \vec{e}_{3n}\}$, where $\vec{e}_k \in C_1(E(K);\rho)$ is the $3n\times 1$ matrix with 1 at $(k,1)$-entry and 0 otherwise, and that $\operatorname{Im}\partial_1 = \mathbb{C}^2$, generated by $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Since the determinant of the $3(n-1)\times 3(n-1)$ matrix $\tilde{A}(X)$ is not zero, the rank of $A(X)$ is $3n-3$, ∂_2 is injective, and so $\operatorname{Im}\partial_2 = \mathbb{C}^{3n-3}$.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &H_2(C;\rho) = \{0\}, \\ &H_1(C;\rho) = \mathbb{C} \qquad \text{(generated by } [\tilde{x}_1] \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\text{)}, \\ &H_0(C;\rho) = \mathbb{C} \qquad \text{(generated by } [\tilde{v}] \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate the Reidemeister torsion of $E(K)$. From the calculation above if we put

$$\mathbf{b}_2 := \Bigg\langle \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\\vdots\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\\vdots\\0\end{pmatrix}, \cdots, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\vdots\\1\end{pmatrix}}_{3n-3} \Bigg\rangle,$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1 := \langle \vec{e}_2 \rangle,$$

$$\mathbf{b}_1 := \langle \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_3 \rangle,$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0 := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

we see that $\mathbf{b}_2$ forms a basis of $C_2(E(K);\rho)$, that $\mathbf{b}_1 \cup \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1 \cup \partial_2(\mathbf{b}_2)$ forms a basis of $C_1(E(K);\rho)$, and that $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0 \cup \partial_1(\mathbf{b}_1)$ forms a basis of $C_0(E(K);\rho)$. Therefore the Reidemeister torsion of $E(K)$ associated with these bases is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tor}(E(K);\rho) &= \pm \frac{\left|\begin{array}{ccc:c} 1&0&0& \\ 0&0&1& \\ 0&1&0& \\ 0&0&0& \\ 0&0&0& \\ 0&0&0& A(X) \\ & \vdots & & \\ 0&0&0& \\ 0&0&0& \\ 0&0&0& \end{array}\right|}{\det(I_{3n-3}) \begin{vmatrix} 0 & z^{-2}-1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2-1 \end{vmatrix}} \\ &= \pm \left(\frac{\Delta(K;z^2)}{(z-z^{-1})}\right)^2\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Note that we use $[\tilde{\mu}_K \otimes H]$ as the generator of $H_1(C;\rho)$ and $[\tilde{v} \otimes H]$ as the generator of $H_0(C;\rho)$. Here H can be characterized as the vector that is invariant under the adjoint action of $\rho(\mu_K)$. □

6. Reidemeister torsion - Non-Abelian case

This section is devoted to the calculation of the Reidemeister torsions twisted by the adjoint actions of non-Abelian representations. To do this we will use the following formula [33, Proposition 0.11].

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho) = \frac{\mathrm{Tor}(C;\rho)\,\mathrm{Tor}(D;\rho)}{\mathrm{Tor}(S;\rho)\,\mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*)}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_*$ is the following Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence associated with the decomposition of $E = C \cup_S D$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xrightarrow{\delta_3} H_2(S;\rho) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} H_2(C;\rho)\oplus H_2(D;\rho) \xrightarrow{\psi_2} H_2(E;\rho)\\
&\xrightarrow{\delta_2} H_1(S;\rho) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} H_1(C;\rho)\oplus H_1(D;\rho) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} H_1(E;\rho)\\
&\xrightarrow{\delta_1} H_0(S;\rho) \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} H_0(C;\rho)\oplus H_0(D;\rho) \xrightarrow{\psi_0} H_0(E;\rho).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Here we put $\varphi_k := i_*^C \oplus i_*^D$ and $\psi_k := j_*^C - j_*^D$, and δ_i is the connecting homomorphism. Note that the degrees of $\mathcal{H}_*$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{3k} &= H_k(E;\rho),\\
\mathcal{H}_{3k+1} &= H_k(C;\rho)\oplus H_k(D;\rho),\\
\mathcal{H}_{3k+2} &= H_k(S;\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.1. Lines 5–7, Page 8 in [33] should be read as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{3i} &= H_i(D_*),\\
\mathcal{H}_{3i+1} &= H_i(N_*),\\
\mathcal{H}_{3i+2} &= H_i(M_*).
\end{aligned}$$

See [23, Footnote, P. 367].

6.1. **Reidemeister torsion of a torus.** In this subsection we calculate the Reidemeister torsion of the torus S.

In our case we assume that the meridian μ_C of S is sent to a diagonal matrix $\begin{pmatrix}\zeta & 0\\ 0 & \zeta^{-1}\end{pmatrix}$ with $\zeta^2 \neq 1$ by conjugation. Since the longitude λ_C commutes with μ_C, it is sent to $\begin{pmatrix}\eta & 0\\ 0 & \eta^{-1}\end{pmatrix}$ (η^2 may be 1).

We regard S as a cell complex with one 0-cell v (the basepoint), two 1-cells μ_C and λ_C, and one 2-cell f. Here f is attached to the bouquet $v \cup \mu_C \cup \lambda_C$ so that ∂f is identified with $\mu_C\lambda_C(\mu_C)^{-1}(\lambda_C)^{-1}$. So the 0-dimensional chain complex $C_0(S;\rho)$ is spanned by $\{\tilde{v}\otimes E, \tilde{v}\otimes H, \tilde{v}\otimes F\}$, where $\tilde{v}$ is the lift of v in the universal cover of S. Similarly, $C_1(S;\rho)$ is spanned by $\{\tilde{\mu}_C\otimes E, \tilde{\mu}_C\otimes H, \tilde{\mu}_C\otimes F, \tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes E, \tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes H, \tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes F\}$, and $C_2(S;\rho)$ is spanned by $\{\tilde{f}\otimes E, \tilde{f}\otimes H, \tilde{f}\otimes F\}$.

Since we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\mu_C)^{-1}E\rho(\mu_C) &= \begin{pmatrix}0 & \zeta^{-2}\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},\\
\rho(\mu_C)^{-1}H\rho(\mu_C) &= \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix},\\
\rho(\mu_C)^{-1}F\rho(\mu_C) &= \begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ \zeta^2 & 0\end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

the adjoint action of $\rho(\mu_C)$ is given by the multiplication from the left of the following three by three matrix:

$$M := \begin{pmatrix}\zeta^{-2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, the adjoint action of $\rho(\lambda_C)$ is given by

$$L := \begin{pmatrix}\eta^{-2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \eta^2\end{pmatrix}.$$

The differential ∂_2^S is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2^S &= \Phi \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho \left(\frac{\partial \left(\mu_C \lambda_C (\mu_S)^{-1} (\lambda_C)^{-1} \right)}{\partial \mu_C} \right) \\ \mathrm{Ad}_\rho \left(\frac{\partial \left(\mu_C \lambda_C (\mu_S)^{-1} (\lambda_C)^{-1} \right)}{\partial \lambda_C} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \Phi \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho \left(1 - \mu_C \lambda_C (\mu_C)^{-1} \right) \\ \mathrm{Ad}_\rho \left(\mu_C - \mu_C \lambda_C (\mu_C)^{-1} (\lambda_C)^{-1} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} I_3 - M^{-1} L M \\ M - L^{-1} M^{-1} L M \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} I_3 - L \\ M - I_3 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 1-\eta^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-\eta^2 \\ \zeta^{-2}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where I_3 is the three by three identity matrix. The differential ∂_1^S is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_1^S &= \Phi \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(\mu_C - 1) & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(\lambda_C - 1) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{-2}-1 & 0 & 0 & \eta^{-2}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 - 1 & 0 & 0 & \eta^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ker}\, \partial_2^S &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \\
\mathrm{Im}\, \partial_2^S &= \left\langle \partial_2^S \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \partial_2^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \\
\mathrm{Ker}\, \partial_1^S &= \mathrm{Im}\, \partial_2^S \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \\
\mathrm{Im}\, \partial_1^S &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2(S;\rho) &= \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{generated by } [S] \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}), \\
H_1(S;\rho) &= \mathbb{C}^2 \quad (\text{generated by } \{ \tilde{\mu}_C \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$H_0(S;\rho)=\mathbb{C}\quad (\text{generated by } \tilde{v}\otimes\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}).$$

Here $[S]\in H_2(S;\mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class. Note also that $\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}$ is invariant under the adjoint action of any element of $\pi_1(S)$.

Now we calculate the Reidemeister torsion of S. From the calculation above. If we put

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2^S &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{b}_2^S &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\\
\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1^S &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\\0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{b}_1^S &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\\
\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0^S &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

we see that $\mathbf{b}_2^S\cup\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2^S$ forms a basis of C_2^S, that $\mathbf{b}_1^S\cup\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1^S\cup\partial_2^S(\mathbf{b}_2^S)$ forms a basis of C_1^S, and that $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0^S\cup\partial_1^S(\mathbf{b}_1^S)$ forms a basis of C_0^S. Therefore the Reidemeister torsion of S associated with these bases is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tor}(S;\rho) &= \pm\frac{\begin{vmatrix}
1&0&0&0&1-\eta^{-2}&0\\
0&0&1&0&0&0\\
0&1&0&0&0&1-\eta^2\\
0&0&0&0&\zeta^{-2}-1&0\\
0&0&0&1&0&0\\
0&0&0&0&0&\zeta^2-1
\end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix}1&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&1&0\end{vmatrix}\begin{vmatrix}0&\zeta^{-2}-1&0\\1&0&0\\0&0&\zeta^2-1\end{vmatrix}}\\
&= \pm 1.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

Note that we use $[S]\otimes H$ as the generator of $H_2(S;\rho)$, $\{\tilde{\mu}_C\otimes H,\tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes H\}$ as the generator set of $H_1(S;\rho)$, and $\tilde{v}\otimes H$ as the generator of $H_0(S;\rho)$. Here H can be characterized as the vector that is invariant under the adjoint action of $\rho(\mu_C)$ (and hence that of $\rho(\lambda_C)$).

From (6.1) we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho) = \frac{\mathrm{Tor}(D;\rho)}{\mathrm{Tor}(S;\rho)\,\mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*)}. \tag{6.4}$$

6.2. $\mathrm{Im}\,\rho_C$ **is Abelian and** $\mathrm{Im}\,\rho_D$ **is non-Abelian.** Let ρ be the representation defined in Subection 4.2. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *The Reidemeister torsion* $\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho)$ *of* E *twisted by the adjoint action of the representation* ρ *associated with the meridian is given by*

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho) = \pm\frac{(2b+1)(\omega_2^{2a+1}+\omega_2^{-2a-1})^2}{2(\omega_2^2-\omega_2^{-2})^2}.$$

Corollary 6.3. *Let* $\rho^{\mathrm{AN}}_{\xi,j}$ *be the representation* ρ *with* $z = \exp(\xi/2)$ *and* $\omega_2 = \exp\left(\frac{(2j+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2b+1}\right)$. *Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho^{\mathrm{AN}}_{\xi,j}) = \pm\frac{2b+1}{2}\frac{\cos^2\left(\frac{(2a+1)(2j+1)\pi}{2b+1}\right)}{\sin^2\left(\frac{2(2j+1)\pi}{2b+1}\right)} = \pm\tau_1(\xi;j)^{-2}.$$

The rest of this subsection will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.2. Note that this may follow from [20, Theorem 3.7] but for later use we will give a proof from scratch.

6.2.1. *Reidemeister torsion of* S. From (6.3) we know that $\mathrm{Tor}(S;\rho) = \pm 1$. From the calculation there the associated bases for $H_i(S;\rho)$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ are as follows.

Since we calculate

$$\begin{pmatrix}\omega_2 & z^{-1}\\ 0 & \omega_2^{-1}\end{pmatrix}^{-1} E \begin{pmatrix}\omega_2 & z^{-1}\\ 0 & \omega_2^{-1}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}0 & \omega_2^{-2}\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}\omega_2 & z^{-1}\\ 0 & \omega_2^{-1}\end{pmatrix}^{-1} H \begin{pmatrix}\omega_2 & z^{-1}\\ 0 & \omega_2^{-1}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 2\omega_2^{-1}z^{-1}\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}\omega_2 & z^{-1}\\ 0 & \omega_2^{-1}\end{pmatrix}^{-1} F \begin{pmatrix}\omega_2 & z^{-1}\\ 0 & \omega_2^{-1}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}-\omega_2 z^{-1} & -z^{-2}\\ \omega_2^2 & \omega_2 z^{-1}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho(\theta_1)^{-1}E\rho(\theta_1) = \begin{pmatrix}-z^{-1}+\omega_2^{-1}z & 1\\ -(\omega_2^{-1}z-z^{-1})^2 & z^{-1}-\omega_2^{-1}z\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho(\theta_1)^{-1}H\rho(\theta_1) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 2z^{-1}-2\omega_2^{-1}z & -1\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\rho(\theta_1)^{-1}F\rho(\theta_1) = \begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

the adjoint action of $\rho(\mu_C) = \rho(x)$ is given by the multiplication from the left of the following three by three matrix:

$$X := \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix}\omega_2^{-2} & 2\omega_2^{-1}z^{-1} & -z^{-2}\\ 0 & 1 & -\omega_2 z^{-1}\\ 0 & 0 & \omega_2^2\end{pmatrix}\Theta_1^{-1}$$

with

$$\Theta_1 := \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ -z^{-1}+\omega_2^{-1}z & 1 & 0\\ -(\omega_2^{-1}z-z^{-1})^2 & 2z^{-1}-2\omega_2^{-1}z & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the adjoint action of $[\lambda_C]$ is trivial.

If we put

$$U := \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1})z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z(\omega_2 + \omega_2^{-1}) - 2z^{-1} \\ 2(\omega_2 + \omega_2^{-1} - z^2 - z^{-2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

then U is invariant under X and so it is invariant under the adjoint action of any element in $\pi_1(S)$. Therefore we have

- $H_2(S;\rho) = \mathbb{C}$ is generated by $\{[S] \otimes U\}$,
- $H_1(S;\rho) = \mathbb{C}^2$ is generated by $\{\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes U, \tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes U\}$, and
- $H_0(S;\rho) = \mathbb{C}$ is generated by $\{\tilde{v} \otimes U\}$.

6.2.2. *Reidemeister torsion of C.* Since $\Delta(T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}) = \dfrac{(t^{2a+1} - t^{-(2a+1)})(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})}{(t - t^{-1})(t^{(2a+1)/2} - t^{-(2a+1)/2})}$ (see for example [21]) and the eigenvalues of the image of $\rho(\mu_C)$ are $\omega_2^{\pm 1} \neq \pm 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tor}(C;\rho) &= \pm \frac{1}{\left(\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\left(\omega_2^{2(2a+1)} - \omega_2^{-2(2a+1)}\right)\left(\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1}\right)}{\left(\omega_2^2 - \omega_2^{-2}\right)\left(\omega_2^{2a+1} - \omega_2^{-(2a+1)}\right)} \right)^2 \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{\left(\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\omega_2^{2a+1} + \omega_2^{-2a-1}}{\omega_2 + \omega_2^{-1}} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

from Proposition 5.1. From its proof we also have

$$\begin{aligned} &H_2(C;\rho) = \{0\}, \\ &H_1(C;\rho) = \mathbb{C} \qquad (\text{generated by } \tilde{x} \otimes U), \\ &H_0(C;\rho) = \mathbb{C} \qquad (\text{generated by } \tilde{v} \otimes U) \end{aligned}$$

since U is invariant under the adjoint action of $\rho(\mu_C)$.

6.2.3. *Reidemeister torsion of D.* We regard D as a cell complex with one 0-cell v, two 1-cells p and t, and one 2-cell f. Note that f is attached to the bouquet $v \cup p \cup t$ so that its boundary is identified with $ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}t^{-1}$.

The adjoint actions of $\rho(p)$ and $\rho(t)$ are given by

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} z^{-2} & 2z^{-1} & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -z \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 \end{pmatrix} = \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & P_2 & P_3 \end{pmatrix} \Theta_1^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &:= \begin{pmatrix} \omega_2^{-2} z^2 \\ \omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2 - 1)(z^2 - \omega_2)z \\ -\omega_2^{-4}(\omega_2 - 1)^2(z^2 - \omega_2)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ P_2 &:= \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_2^{-1} z \\ \omega_2^{-2}(2(\omega_2 - 1)z^2 - \omega_2(\omega_2 - 2)) \\ -2\omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2 - 1)(z^2 - \omega_2)((\omega_2 - 1)z^2 + \omega_2)z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ P_3 &:= \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -z - z^{-1} + \omega_2^{-1} z \\ (z + z^{-1} - \omega_2^{-1} z)^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T := \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} \omega_2^{-2b} & \frac{2\omega_2(1-\omega_2^{-2b})}{(\omega_2^2-1)z} & -\frac{\omega_2^{2-2b}(\omega_2^{2b}-1)^2}{(\omega_2^2-1)^2z^2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{\omega_2(\omega_2^{2b}-1)}{(\omega_2^2-1)z} \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_2^{2b} \end{pmatrix} \Theta_1^{-1}$$
$$= \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_2 & \frac{2\omega_2}{(\omega_2-1)z} & \frac{\omega_2}{(\omega_2-1)^2z^2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{(\omega_2-1)z} \\ 0 & 0 & -\omega_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \Theta_1^{-1}$$

since $\omega_2^{2b+1} = -1$.

The differential ∂_2 is given by the following six by three matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_2^D \\
=&\Phi \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho \left(\frac{\partial(ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}t^{-1})}{\partial p} \right) \\ \mathrm{Ad}_\rho \left(\frac{\partial(ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}t^{-1})}{\partial t} \right) \end{pmatrix} \\
=&\Phi \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(1 + pt - ptptp^{-1} - ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}) \\ \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(p + ptp - ptptp^{-1}t^{-1} - ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}t^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\
=&\begin{pmatrix} I_3 + TP - TPT - T \\ P + PTP - PT - I_3 \end{pmatrix} \\
=&\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\times \mathrm{diag}\big(\omega_2^{-1}((\omega_2-1)^2z^2 - \omega_2)z^{-2}, \omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)((\omega_2-1)z^2 + \omega_2)z^{-1}, \\
&\qquad \omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2), 1, \omega_2^{-1}(\omega_2-1)z, \omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2)\big) \\
&\times \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\times \mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{(\omega_2+1)(z^2-\omega_2)}{\omega_2^2}, \frac{z^2-\omega_2}{\omega_2(\omega_2-1)z}, \frac{(\omega_2^2-\omega_2+1)z^2-\omega_2}{(\omega_2-1)^2z^2} \right) \Theta_1^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{diag}(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$ denotes the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$. The differential ∂_1^D is given by the following three by six matrix.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_1^D \\
=&\Phi \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(p-1) & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(t-1) \end{pmatrix} \\
=&\Theta_1 \\
&\times \left(\begin{array}{c:c:c:c:c:c} \omega_2^{-2}z^2 - 1 & 2\omega_2^{-1}z & -1 & -\omega_2 - 1 & \frac{2\omega_2}{\omega_2 z - z} & \frac{\omega_2}{(\omega_2-1)^2z^2} \\ \omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)(z^2-\omega_2)z & \omega_2^{-2}(2(\omega_2-1)z^2 - \omega_2(\omega_2-2)) - 1 & -z - z^{-1} + \omega_2^{-1}z & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{(\omega_2-1)z} \\ -\omega_2^{-4}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2)^2 & -2\omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)(z^2-\omega_2)((\omega_2-1)z^2+\omega_2)z^{-1} & (z + z^{-1} - \omega_2^{-1}z)^2 - 1 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_2^{-1} - 1 \end{array} \right) \\
&\times \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1^{-1} & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that ∂_1^D is surjective and

$$\operatorname{Ker}\partial_2^D = \left\langle \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (\omega_2-\omega_2^{-1})z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z+z^{-1}-2\omega_2^{-1}z \\ 2\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)(z^2-\omega_2) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\partial_2^D = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_2^{-1}((\omega_2-1)^2z^2-\omega_2)z^{-2} \\ \omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)((\omega_2-1)z^2+\omega_2)z^{-1} \\ \omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2) \\ 1 \\ \omega_2^{-1}(\omega_2-1)z \\ -\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$\operatorname{Ker}\partial_1^D = \operatorname{Im}\partial_2^D \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z+z^{-1}-2\omega_2^{-1}z \\ 2\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)(z^2-\omega_2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ (\omega_2-\omega_2^{-1})z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Putting $U := \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (\omega_2-\omega_2^{-1})z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as before and $V := \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z+z^{-1}-2\omega_2^{-1}z \\ 2\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)(z^2-\omega_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z-z^{-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(D;\rho) &= \mathbb{C}^2 \quad (\text{generated by } \tilde{f}\otimes U \text{ and } \tilde{f}\otimes V]), \\ H_1(D;\rho) &= \mathbb{C}^2 \quad (\text{generated by } \tilde{p}\otimes V \text{ and } \tilde{t}\otimes U), \\ H_0(D;\rho) &= \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the vector U is invariant under the adjoint actions of $\rho(\mu_C)=\rho(x)$ and $\rho(\lambda_C)=I_2$, and that V is invariant under the adjoint actions of $\rho(\mu)=\rho(p)$ and $\rho(\lambda)=\rho(\lambda_C x^b pq^{-b}\lambda_C x^b p^{-3b-1})$, where μ and λ are the meridian and the longitude of $T(2,2a+1)^{(2,2b+1)}$. The latter is because the adjoint action of $\rho(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^{8b+4} & -\frac{2(z^{8b+4}-1)}{z-z^{-1}} & -\frac{(z^{4b+2}-z^{-4b-2})^2}{(z-z^{-1})^2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1-z^{-8b-4}}{z-z^{-1}} \\ 0 & 0 & z^{-8b-4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We want to study topological interpretation of the generators $\tilde{f}\otimes U$ and $\tilde{f}\otimes V$. To do that we calculate $i_*^D([S]\otimes U)$ and $i_*([\Sigma]\otimes V)$, where Σ is the boundary component of D other than S and $i\colon \Sigma\to D$ is the inclusion.

As described in Subsection 6.1, $[S]\otimes U$ generates $H_2(S;\rho)$. Here $[S]$ is presented by the 2-cell whose boundary is attached to

$$\mu_C\lambda_C\mu_C^{-1}\lambda_C^{-1} = x\cdot r\cdot x^{-1}\cdot r^{-1} = ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}t^{-1}.$$

Since this coincides with the boundary of f, we have

$$i_*^D([S]\otimes U) = \tilde{f}\otimes U.$$

Next we calculate $i_*([\Sigma]\otimes V)$. As in the previous case, $[\Sigma]\otimes V$ generates $H_2(\Sigma;\rho)$ and $[\Sigma]$ is presented by the 2-cell whose boundary is attached to $\mu\lambda\mu^{-1}\lambda^{-1}$. Since

$\lambda = tptp^{-1}$ from Figure 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\lambda\mu^{-1}\lambda^{-1} &= p \cdot tptp^{-1} \cdot p^{-1} \cdot \left(tptp^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\ &= ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}t^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the boundary of f. So we also have $i_*^D([\Sigma] \otimes V) = \tilde{f} \otimes V$. Therefore we conclude that $H_2(D;\rho)$ is generated by $i_*^D([S] \otimes U)$ and $i_*^D([\Sigma] \otimes V)$.

Now we calculate the Reidemeister torsion of D.

From the calculation above if we put

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2^D := \left\langle \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1})z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z + z^{-1} - 2\omega_2^{-1}z \\ 2\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2 - 1)(z^2 - \omega_2) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$\mathbf{b}_2^D := \left\langle \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\omega_2^2}{(\omega_2+1)(z^2-\omega_2)} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1^D := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z + z^{-1} - 2\omega_2^{-1}z \\ 2\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2 - 1)(z^2 - \omega_2) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ (\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1})z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

$$\mathbf{b}_1^D := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

we see that $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2^D \cup \mathbf{b}_2^D$ forms a basis of C_2^D, that $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1^D \cup \mathbf{b}_1^D \cup \partial_2^D(\mathbf{b}_2^D)$ forms a basis of C_1^D, and that $\partial_1^D(\mathbf{b}_1^D)$ forms a basis of C_0^D. Therefore the Reidemeister torsion of D associated with these bases is

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tor}(D;\rho) \\ &= \pm \det \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_2^{-1}((\omega_2-1)^2z^2 - \omega_2)z^{-2} \\ z + z^{-1} - 2\omega_2^{-1}z & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)((\omega_2-1)z^2 + \omega_2)z^{-1} \\ 2\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)(z^2-\omega_2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2) \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & (\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1})z & 0 & 1 & 0 & \omega_2^{-1}(\omega_2-1)z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2) \end{vmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \left(\det \Theta_1 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 2 & \frac{\omega_2^2}{(\omega_2+1)(z^2-\omega_2)} \\ (\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1})z & z + z^{-1} - 2\omega_2^{-1}z & 0 \\ 0 & 2\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)(z^2-\omega_2) & 0 \end{vmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\det \Theta_1 \begin{vmatrix} 2\omega_2^{-1}z & \frac{2\omega_2}{\omega_2 z - z} & \frac{\omega_2}{(\omega_2-1)^2z^2} \\ \omega_2^{-2}(2(\omega_2-1)z^2 - \omega_2(\omega_2-2)) - 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{(\omega_2-1)z} \\ -2\omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)(z^2-\omega_2)((\omega_2-1)z^2+\omega_2)z^{-1} & 0 & -\omega_2^{-1} - 1 \end{vmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

6.2.4. *Reidemeister torsion of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence.* From (6.4) and the calculation above, we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}(E;\rho) = \frac{\operatorname{Tor}(C;\rho)\operatorname{Tor}(D;\rho)}{\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*)} = \pm\frac{(\omega_2^{2a+1}+\omega_2^{-2a-1})^2}{2(\omega_2^2-\omega_2^{-2})^2\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*)}. \tag{6.5}$$

We calculate the torsion of the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence with respect to the bases that we have calculated:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2(S;\rho) &= \langle [S]\otimes U\rangle,\\
H_1(S;\rho) &= \left\langle \tilde{\mu}_C\otimes U, \tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes U\right\rangle,\\
H_0(S;\rho) &= \langle \tilde{v}\otimes U\rangle,\\
H_1(C;\rho) &= \langle \tilde{x}\otimes U\rangle,\\
H_0(C;\rho) &= \langle \tilde{v}\otimes U\rangle,\\
H_2(D;\rho) &= \left\langle i_*^D([S]\otimes U), i_*^D([\Sigma]\otimes V)\right\rangle,\\
H_1(D;\rho) &= \left\langle \tilde{p}\otimes V, \tilde{t}\otimes U\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

So the exact sequence becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xrightarrow{\delta_3} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\psi_2} H_2(E;\rho)\\
&\xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} H_1(E;\rho)\\
&\xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\psi_0} H_0(E;\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

We will study the homomorphisms φ_k $(k=0,1,2)$.

- φ_2: We know that i_*^C sends $[S]\otimes U \in H_2(S;\rho)$ to $i_*^D([S]\otimes U)\in H_2(D;\rho)$, that $[S]\otimes U$ generates $H_2(S;\rho)$, and that $i_*^D([S]\otimes U)$ is one of the generators of $H_2(D;\rho)$. Therefore we conclude that φ_2 is injective.
- φ_0: We can also see that i_*^C sends the generator $\tilde{v}\otimes U$ of $H_0(S;\rho)$ to the generator $\tilde{v}\otimes U$ of $H_0(C;\rho)$, and so φ_0 is an isomorphism.
- φ_1: Since $\mu_C = x$ and $\lambda_C = y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1}$ from § 3, we see that i_*^C sends $\tilde{\mu}_C\otimes U$ to $\tilde{x}\otimes U\in H_1(C;\rho)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes U$ to

$$\begin{aligned}
&i_*^C(\tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes U)\\
=&\left(\frac{\partial(y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1})}{\partial x}\tilde{x}\right)\otimes U + \left(\frac{\partial(y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1})}{\partial y}\tilde{y}\right)\otimes U\\
=&\tilde{x}\otimes\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2a-1}(YX)^kY - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{4a+1}X^{-k}\right)(YX)^{2a}Y\right)U + \tilde{y}\otimes\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2a}(XY)^k\right)U\\
=&0\in H_1(C;\rho)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\tilde{x}=\tilde{y}$, $X=Y$, and $XU=U$.

On the other hand $\mu_C = ptpt^{-1}$ and $\lambda_C = t(ptpt^{-1})^{-b}$ in $\pi_1(D)$ from § 3. Therefore we conclude that i_*^D sends $\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes U$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_*^D(\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes U) \\
=& \left(\frac{\partial(ptpt^{-1})}{\partial\, p}\tilde{p}\right) \otimes U + \left(\frac{\partial(ptpt^{-1})}{\partial\, t}\tilde{t}\right) \otimes U \\
=& \tilde{p} \otimes (I_3 + TP)U + \tilde{t} \otimes (P - T^{-1}PTP)U \\
=& \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2\omega_2^{-1}z^{-2}(z^2+1)((\omega_2-1)^2z^2-\omega_2) \\ 2\omega_2^{-1}(\omega_2-1)z^{-1}(z^2+1)((\omega_2-1)z^2+\omega_2) \\ 2\omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2+1)(z^2-\omega_2) \\ 2(z^2-1) \\ 2\omega_2^{-1}(\omega_2-1)z(z^2-\omega_2) \\ -2\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2+1)(z^2-\omega_2) \end{pmatrix} \\
=& 2(z^2+1) \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_2^{-1}((\omega_2-1)^2z^2-\omega_2)z^{-2} \\ \omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)((\omega_2-1)z^2+\omega_2)z^{-1} \\ \omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2) \\ 1 \\ \omega_2^{-1}(\omega_2-1)z \\ -\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2) \end{pmatrix} \\
& - 2 \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ (\omega_2 - \omega_2^{-1})z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
=& -2\tilde{t} \otimes U \in H_1(D;\rho),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes U$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&i_*^D(\tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes U)\\
=&\left(\frac{\partial(t(ptpt^{-1})^{-b})}{\partial\, p}\tilde{p}\right)\otimes U + \left(\frac{\partial(t(ptpt^{-1})^{-b})}{\partial\, t}\tilde{t}\right)\otimes U\\
=&\tilde{p}\otimes\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{b}(P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T)^kT - \sum_{k=0}^{b-1}P^{-1}T(P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T)^kT\right)U\\
&+\tilde{t}\otimes\left(I_3+\sum_{k=0}^{b-1}(P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T)^kT - \sum_{k=0}^{b-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T(P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T)^kT\right)U\\
=&\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_1 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}
2b\omega_2^{-1}z^{-2}(z^2+1)((\omega_2-1)^2z^2-\omega_2)\\
-2b\omega_2^{-2}z^{-1}(z^2+1)(\omega_2-1)((\omega_2-1)z^2+\omega_2)\\
-2b\omega_2^{-3}(z^2+1)(z^2-\omega_2)(\omega_2-1)^2\\
2-2b(z^2-1)\\
\omega_2^{-1}z(\omega_2-1)(-2bz^2+2b\omega+\omega+1)\\
2b\omega_2^{-2}(z^2+1)(z^2-\omega_2)(\omega_2-1)^2
\end{pmatrix}\\
=&-2b(z^2+1)\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_1 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}
-\omega_2^{-1}((\omega_2-1)^2z^2-\omega_2)z^{-2}\\
\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)((\omega_2-1)z^2+\omega_2)z^{-1}\\
\omega_2^{-3}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2)\\
1\\
\omega_2^{-1}(\omega_2-1)z\\
-\omega_2^{-2}(\omega_2-1)^2(z^2-\omega_2)
\end{pmatrix}\\
&+(2b+1)\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_1 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}
0\\0\\0\\2\\(\omega_2-\omega_2^{-1})z\\0
\end{pmatrix}\\
=&(2b+1)\tilde{t}\otimes U\in H_1(D;\rho).
\end{aligned}
$$

So φ_1 is presented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ -2 & 2b+1\end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the bases mentioned above. It is clear that φ_1 is injective. Therefore we have $H_0(E;\rho)=\{0\}$, $H_2(E;\rho)=\mathbb{C}$, which is generated by $(j_*^D\circ i_*^D)([\Sigma]\otimes V)$, and $H_1(E;\rho)=\mathbb{C}$, which is generated by $j_*^D(\tilde{p}\otimes V)=\tilde{\mu}\otimes V$.

Now the bases for $\mathcal{H}$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle [S]\otimes U\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_7^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle i_*^D([S]\otimes U), i_*^D([\Sigma]\otimes V)\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_6^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle (j_*^D\circ i_*^D)([\Sigma]\otimes V)\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \tilde{\mu}_C\otimes U, \tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes U\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_4^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \tilde{x}\otimes U, \tilde{p}\otimes V, \tilde{t}\otimes U\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_3^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \tilde{\mu}\otimes V\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_2^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \tilde{v}\otimes U\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_1^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \tilde{v}\otimes U\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $\mathbf{b}_i^{\mathcal{H}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}}, \\
\mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle i_*^D([\Sigma] \otimes V) \right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}}, \\
\mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \tilde{p} \otimes V \rangle, \\
\mathbf{b}_2^{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbf{c}_2^{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the torsion of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence equals

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*) &= \pm \frac{\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_7^{\mathcal{H}}\right] \left[\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}}\right] \left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}}) \mid \mathbf{c}_3^{\mathcal{H}}\right] \left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_2^{\mathcal{H}}) \mid \mathbf{c}_1^{\mathcal{H}}\right]}{\left[\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}}\right] \left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}}) \mid \mathbf{c}_6^{\mathcal{H}}\right] \left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_4^{\mathcal{H}}\right] \left[\mathbf{b}_2^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_2^{\mathcal{H}}\right]} \\
&= \pm \frac{1}{\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 2b+1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \\
&= \pm \frac{1}{2b+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we finally have

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E; \rho) = \pm \frac{(2b+1)(\omega_2^{2a+1} + \omega_2^{-2a-1})^2}{2(\omega_2^2 - \omega_2^{-2})^2}$$

from (6.5).

6.3. $\mathrm{Im}\, \rho_C$ **is non-Abelian and** $\mathrm{Im}\, \rho_D$ **is Abelian.** Let ρ be the representation defined in Subsection 4.3. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *The Reidemeister torsion* $\mathrm{Tor}(E; \rho)$ *of* E *twisted by the adjoint action of the representation* ρ *associated with the meridian is given by*

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E; \rho) = \pm \frac{2a+1}{2} \left(\frac{z^{8a-2b+3} + z^{-8a+2b-3}}{\omega_1 - \omega_1^{-1}} \right)^2.$$

Corollary 6.5. *Let* $\rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}}$ *be the representation* ρ *with* $z = \exp(\xi/2)$ *and* $\omega_1 = \exp\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2a+1}\right)$. *Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E; \rho_{\xi,k}^{\mathrm{NA}}) = \pm \frac{2a+1}{2} \frac{\cosh^2\left(\frac{(8a-2b+3)\xi}{2}\right)}{\sin^2\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2a+1}\right)} = \pm \tau_2(\xi; k)^{-2}.$$

The rest of this subsection will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.4

6.3.1. *Reidemeister torsion of* S. Since we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(x)^{-1} E \rho(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^{-4} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\rho(x)^{-1} H \rho(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2z^{-2} \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\rho(x)^{-1} F \rho(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} -z^2 & -1 \\ z^4 & z^2 \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

the adjoint actions of $\rho(\mu_C)$ is given by the multiplications from the left of the following three by three matrix:

$$X := \begin{pmatrix} z^{-4} & 2z^{-2} & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -z^2 \\ 0 & 0 & z^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we put

$$W := \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z^2 - z^{-2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then W is invariant under the adjoint action of any element of $\pi_1(S)$.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(S;\rho) &= \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{generated by } [S] \otimes W), \\ H_1(S;\rho) &= \mathbb{C}^2 \quad (\text{generated by } \{\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes W, \tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes W\}), \\ H_0(S;\rho) &= \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{generated by } \tilde{v} \otimes W) \end{aligned}$$

from Subsection 6.1.

6.3.2. *Reidemeister torsion of C.* In [6, 6.2], J. Dubois proved that the *cohomological* Reidemeister torsion of C twisted by ρ associated with the *longitude* is $\pm\left(\dfrac{\omega_1 - \omega_1^{-1}}{2a+1}\right)^2$. Since we are using *homological* Reidemeister torsion associated with the *meridian*, we need to take its inverse and multiply by $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial v}$ from [33, Théorème 4.1], where if we put $z^2 := e^{u/2}$ in $\rho(\mu_C) = \rho(x)$ then $\rho(\lambda_C)$ is given as $\begin{pmatrix} e^{v/2} & * \\ 0 & e^{-v/2} \end{pmatrix}$. Since $e^{v/2} = -z^{-8a-4}$ from Subsection 4.3, we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = \frac{1}{-4a-2}. \tag{6.6}$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}(C;\rho) = \pm\frac{(2a+1)^2}{(\omega_1 - \omega_1^{-1})^2} \times \frac{1}{(-4a-2)} = \pm\frac{2a+1}{2(\omega_1 - \omega_1^{-1})^2}.$$

However for later use we give a proof by direct calculation.

We regard C as a cell complex with one 0-cell v, two 1-cells x and y, and one 2-cell f, where the boundary of f is attached to the bouquet $v \cup x \cup y$ along the word $(xy)^a x (xy)^{-a} y^{-1}$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y)^{-1} E \rho(y) &= \begin{pmatrix} z^{-2}(\omega_1 + \omega_1^{-1} - z^4 - z^{-4}) & z^{-4} \\ -(\omega_1 + \omega_1^{-1} - z^4 - z^{-4})^2 & -z^{-2}(\omega_1 + \omega_1^{-1} - z^4 - z^{-4}) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \rho(y)^{-1} H \rho(y) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2z^2(\omega_1 + \omega_1^{-1} - z^4 - z^{-4}) & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \rho(y)^{-1} F \rho(y) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ z^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

the adjoint action of $\rho(y)$ is given by

$$Y := \begin{pmatrix} z^{-4} & 0 & 0 \\ z^{-2}(\omega_1 + \omega_1^{-1} - z^4 - z^{-4}) & 1 & 0 \\ -(\omega_1 + \omega_1^{-1} - z^4 - z^{-4})^2 & -2z^2(\omega_1 + \omega_1^{-1} - z^4 - z^{-4}) & z^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The differential $\partial_2^C\colon C_2(C;\rho)\to C_1(C;\rho)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_2^C &= \Phi\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial\left((xy)^a x(xy)^{-a}y^{-1}\right)}{\partial x}\right)\\ \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial\left((xy)^a x(xy)^{-a}y^{-1}\right)}{\partial y}\right)\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \begin{pmatrix}\sum_{k=0}^{a}(YX)^k-\sum_{k=1}^{a}(YX)^{-k}X(YX)^a\\ \sum_{k=0}^{a-1}X(YX)^k-\sum_{k=0}^{a}Y^{-1}(YX)^{-k}X(YX)^a\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \frac{1}{(\omega_1^2-1)^2}\begin{pmatrix}D_1 & D_2 & D_3\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$D_1 := -z^{-4}(z^4-\omega_1)(z^4-\omega_1^{-1})D_3,$$

(6.7)

$$D_2 := \begin{pmatrix}2\omega_1\left(\left(\omega_1^2+\omega_1+1\right)z^8-2\omega_1 z^4-\omega_1-2a\left(z^4-\omega_1\right)\left(z^4\omega_1-1\right)\right)z^{-6}\\ \hdashline (2a+1)\omega_1^4-2\left(z^4+a\left(z^8+3\right)+1\right)\omega_1^3 z^{-4}\\ +2\left(z^{12}+z^4+a\left(4z^8+2\right)+1\right)\omega_1^2 z^{-8}\\ -2\left(z^4+a\left(z^8+3\right)+1\right)\omega_1 z^{-4}+2a+1\\ \hdashline 2(2a+1)(\omega_1 z^4-1)^2(z^4-\omega_1)^2 z^{-10}\\ \hdashline -2(2a+1)\omega_1\left(z^4-\omega_1\right)\left(z^4\omega_1-1\right)z^{-2}\\ \hdashline -2(2a+1)\omega_1^2 z^8+2\omega_1\left((\omega_1-1)\omega_1+3a\left(\omega_1^2+1\right)+1\right)z^4\\ -\left((\omega_1-2)\omega_1^3-2\omega_1+2a\left(\omega_1^4+4\omega_1^2+1\right)+1\right)\\ +2\omega_1\left(a\omega_1^2-\omega_1+a\right)z^{-4}\\ \hdashline 2\left(z^4-\omega_1\right)\left(z^4\omega_1-1\right)\\ \left(\left(z^8+2z^4-\omega_1-1\right)\omega_1+2a\left(z^4-\omega_1\right)\left(z^4\omega_1-1\right)-1\right)z^{-6}\end{pmatrix},$$

(6.8)

$$D_3 := \begin{pmatrix}\omega_1\left(\left(\omega_1^2-2a\omega_1+\omega_1+1\right)z^4+2a\omega_1+\omega_1\right)z^{-4}\\ -\left(z^4-1\right)\omega_1\left(a\left(z^4\left(\omega_1^2+1\right)-2\omega_1\right)-\left(z^4+1\right)\omega_1\right)z^{-6}\\ (2a+1)\left(z^4-1\right)\left(z^4-\omega_1\right)\omega_1\left(z^4\omega_1-1\right)z^{-8}\\ -(2a+1)\left(z^4-1\right)\omega_1^2\\ -\left(z^4-1\right)\omega_1\left(\left(z^4+1\right)\omega_1+a\left(2\omega_1 z^4-\omega_1^2-1\right)\right)z^{-2}\\ \left(z^4-\omega_1\right)\left(z^4\omega_1-1\right)\left(\omega_1\left(z^4+2a\left(z^4-1\right)+\omega_1+1\right)+1\right)z^{-4}\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_1^C\\ &=\Phi\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{Ad}_\rho(x-1) & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(y-1)\end{pmatrix}\\ &=\begin{pmatrix}X-I_3 & Y-I_3\end{pmatrix}\\ &=\left(\begin{array}{c:c:c}z^{-4}-1 & 2z^{-2} & -1\\ 0 & 0 & -z^2\\ 0 & 0 & z^4-1\\ \hdashline z^{-4}-1 & 0 & 0\\ z^{-2}(\omega_1+\omega_1^{-1}-z^4-z^{-4}) & 0 & 0\\ -(\omega_1+\omega_1^{-1}-z^4-z^{-4})^2 & -2z^2(\omega_1+\omega_1^{-1}-z^4-z^{-4}) & z^4-1\end{array}\right)\end{aligned}$$

with respect to the bases $\{\tilde v\otimes E,\tilde v\otimes H,\tilde v\otimes F\}$ for $C_0(C;\rho)$, $\{\tilde x\otimes E,\tilde x\otimes H,\tilde x\otimes F,\tilde y\otimes E,\tilde y\otimes H,\tilde y\otimes F\}$ for $C_1(C;\rho)$, and $\{\tilde f\otimes E,\tilde f\otimes H,\tilde f\otimes F\}$ for $C_0(C;\rho)$.

So we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}\partial_2^C = \left\langle\begin{pmatrix}z^4\\ 0\\ (z^4-\omega_1)(z^4-\omega_1^{-1})\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\partial_2^C &= \left\langle \partial_2^C \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \partial_2^C \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \\
\operatorname{Ker}\partial_1^C &= \operatorname{Im}\partial_2^C \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z^2 - z^{-2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\
&= \operatorname{Im}\partial_2^C \oplus \langle \tilde{x} \otimes W \rangle, \\
\operatorname{Im}\partial_1^C &= \left\langle \partial_1^C \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \partial_1^C \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \partial_1^C \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we put $W := \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z^2 - z^{-2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as before.

Now we study topological interpretation of the generator of $\operatorname{Ker}\partial_2^C$. Let $[S] \in H_2(S;\mathbb{Z})$ be the fundamental class. We will calculate $i_*^C([S] \otimes W)$. Since $[S]$ is presented by a 2-cell whose boundary is attached to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_C \lambda_C \mu_C^{-1} \lambda_C^{-1} &= x \cdot y(xy)^{2a} x^{-4a-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot x^{4a+1} (xy)^{-2a} y^{-1}. \\
&= \left((xy)^a x (xy)^{-a} y^{-1}\right) \times \operatorname{ad}_{y(xy)^a}\left(\left((xy)^a x (xy)^{-a} y^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\operatorname{ad}_z(w) := zwz^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
i_*^C([S] \otimes W) &= \tilde{f} \otimes W + \operatorname{Ad}_{\rho(y(xy)^a)}(-\tilde{f} \otimes W) \\
&= (I_3 - (YX)^a Y) \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z^2 - z^{-2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 2(z^4 + z^{-4} - \omega_1 - \omega_1^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2z^{-4} \begin{pmatrix} z^4 \\ 0 \\ (z^4 - \omega_1)(z^4 - \omega_1^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is because if w is the word presenting the boundary of f, then the element $\operatorname{ad}_z(w)$ is lifted to $\tilde{z}\tilde{w}\tilde{z}^{-1}$ in the universal cover of C. Since $\tilde{w}$ bounds a lift of f, $\tilde{z}\tilde{w}\tilde{z}^{-1}$ coincides with $z \cdot \tilde{w}$, where $\cdot$ means the action of $\pi_1(C)$ on the universal cover of C by the covering transformation.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_2(C;\rho) = \mathbb{C}, \qquad \text{(generated by } i_*^C([S] \otimes W)\text{)}, \\
&H_1(C;\rho) = \mathbb{C} \qquad \text{(generated by } \tilde{x} \otimes W\text{)}, \\
&H_0(C;\rho) = \{0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the calculation above if we put

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2^C := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 2(z^4+z^{-4}-\omega_1-\omega_1^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$
$$\mathbf{b}_2^C := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1^C := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z^2-z^{-2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$
$$\mathbf{b}_1^C := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

we see that $\mathbf{b}_2^C \cup \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2^C$ forms a basis of $C_2(C;\rho)$, that $\mathbf{b}_1^C \cup \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1^C \cup \partial_2^C(\mathbf{b}_2^C)$ forms a basis of $C_1(C;\rho)$, and that $\partial_1^C(\mathbf{b}_1^C)$ forms a basis of $C_0(C;\rho)$. Therefore the Reidemeister torsion of C associated with these bases is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tor}(C;\rho) \\
&= \pm \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cccc:c:c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & z^2-z^{-2} & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{(\omega_1^2-1)^2}D_2 & \frac{1}{(\omega_1^2-1)^2}D_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & \end{array}\right|}{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2(z^4+z^{-4}-\omega_1-\omega_1^{-4}) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 2z^{-2} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & z^4-1 & z^4-1 \end{vmatrix}} \\
&= \pm \frac{2a+1}{2(\omega_1-\omega_1^{-1})^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

6.3.3. *Reidemeister torsion of D.* As before, we regard D as a cell complex with one 0-cell v, two 1-cells p and t, and one 2-cell f. The adjoint action of $\rho(p)$ is given by

$$P := \Theta_2 \begin{pmatrix} z^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 \end{pmatrix} \Theta_2^{-1}$$

with

$$\Theta_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{2z^2}{z^4-1} & -\frac{z^4}{(z^4-1)^2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{z^2}{1-z^4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\rho(t) = -\rho(p)^{-8a+2b-4}$, the adjoint action of $\rho(t)$ is given by $T := P^{-8a+2b-4}$.

The differential ∂_2 is given by

∂_2^D

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=\Phi\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial(ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}t^{-1})}{\partial p}\right)\\ \mathrm{Ad}_\rho\left(\frac{\partial(ptptp^{-1}t^{-1}p^{-1}t^{-1})}{\partial t}\right)\end{pmatrix}\\
&=\begin{pmatrix} I_3+TP-P^{-1}TPTP-P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}TPTP\\ P+PTP-T^{-1}P^{-1}TPTP-T^{-1}P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}TPTP\end{pmatrix}\\
&=\begin{pmatrix}(I_3-P^{-8a+2b-4})(I_3+P^{-8a+2b-3})\\ (P-I_3)(I_3+P^{-8a+2b-3})\end{pmatrix}\\
&=\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_2 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_2\end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad\times\begin{pmatrix}(1-z^{16a-4b+8})(1+z^{16a-4b+6}) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & (1-z^{-16a+4b-8})(1+z^{-16a+4b-6})\\ (z^{-2}-1)(1+z^{16a-4b+6}) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & (z^2-1)(1+z^{-16a+4b-6})\end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad\times\Theta_2^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The differential ∂_1^D is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_1^D\\
&=\Phi\begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{Ad}_\rho(p-1) & \mathrm{Ad}_\rho(t-1)\end{pmatrix}\\
&=\Theta_2\begin{pmatrix}z^{-2}-1 & 0 & 0 & z^{16a-4b+8}-1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & z^2-1 & 0 & 0 & z^{-16a+4b-8}-1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_2^{-1} & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_2^{-1}\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ker}\,\partial_2^D&=\left\langle\Theta_2\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{1}{z^2-z^{-2}}\begin{pmatrix}2\\z^2-z^{-2}\\0\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle,\\
\mathrm{Im}\,\partial_2^D&=\left\langle\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_2 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1-z^{16a-4b+8}\\0\\0\\z^{-2}-1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_2 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1-z^{-16a+4b-8}\\0\\0\\z^2-1\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle,\\
\mathrm{Ker}\,\partial_1^D&=\mathrm{Im}\,\partial_2^D\oplus\left\langle\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_2 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_2 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\\0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle\\
&=\mathrm{Im}\,\partial_2^D\oplus\left\langle\begin{pmatrix}2\\z^2-z^{-2}\\0\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\\2\\z^2-z^{-2}\\0\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle,\\
\mathrm{Im}\,\partial_1^D&=\left\langle\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_2 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}z^{-2}-1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\Theta_2 & O_3\\ O_3 & \Theta_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\z^2-1\end{pmatrix}.\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_2(D;\rho) = \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{generated by } \tilde{f} \otimes W),\\
&H_1(D;\rho) = \mathbb{C}^2 \quad (\text{generated by } \tilde{p} \otimes W \text{ and } \tilde{t} \otimes W),\\
&H_0(D;\rho) = \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{generated by } \tilde{v} \otimes W).
\end{aligned}$$

As in the previous case we have $i_*^D([S] \otimes W) = \tilde{f} \otimes W$ and so $H_2(D;\rho)$ is generated by $i_*^D([S] \otimes W)$.

Remark 6.6. We calculate $i_*([\Sigma] \otimes W)$, where $i\colon \Sigma \to D$ is the inclusion map. As in Subsubsection 6.2.3, the fundamental group $[\Sigma]$ coincides with f. Moreover it can be seen that the adjoint action of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ leaves W invariant. So we see that $i_*([\Sigma] \otimes W)$ also coincides with $\tilde{f} \otimes W$.

Now we calculate the Reidemeister torsion of D.
From the calculation above if we put

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2^D &:= \left\langle \Theta_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{b}_2^D &:= \left\langle \Theta_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\\
\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1^D &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_2 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_2 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{b}_1^D &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_2 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_2 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,\\
\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0^D &:= \left\langle \Theta_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

we see that $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_2^D \cup \mathbf{b}_2^D$ forms a basis of C_2^D, that $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_1^D \cup \mathbf{b}_1^D \cup \partial_2^D(\mathbf{b}_2^D)$ forms a basis of C_1^D, and that $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_0^D \cup \partial_1^D(\mathbf{b}_1^D)$ forms a basis of C_0^D. Therefore the Reidemeister

torsion of D associated with these bases is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Tor}(D;\rho)\\
&= \pm \det \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_2 & O_3 \\ O_3 & \Theta_2 \end{pmatrix}\\
&\quad \times \begin{vmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & (1-z^{16a-4b+8})(1+z^{16a-4b+6}) & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & (1-z^{-16a+4b-8})(1+z^{-16a+4b-6}) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (z^{-2}-1)(1+z^{16a-4b+6}) & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (z^2-1)(1+z^{-16a+4b-6})
\end{vmatrix}\\
&\quad \times \left(\det \Theta_2 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \det \Theta_2 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & z^{-2}-1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2-1 \end{vmatrix} \right)^{-1}\\
&= \pm (z^{8a-2b+3} + z^{-8a+2b-3})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

6.3.4. *Reidemeister torsion of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence.* From (6.4) we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}(E;\rho) = \frac{\operatorname{Tor}(C;\rho)\operatorname{Tor}(D;\rho)}{\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*)} = \pm \frac{(2a+1)(z^{8a-2b+3}+z^{-8a+2b-3})^2}{2(\omega_1-\omega_1^{-1})^2 \operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*)}, \tag{6.9}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_*$ is the following Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence (6.2).

We calculate the torsion of the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence with respect to the bases that we have calculated:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2(S;\rho) &= \langle [S] \otimes W \rangle,\\
H_1(S;\rho) &= \left\langle \tilde{\mu}_C \otimes W, \tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes W \right\rangle,\\
H_0(S;\rho) &= \langle \tilde{v} \otimes W \rangle,\\
H_2(C;\rho) &= \left\langle i^C_*([S] \otimes W) \right\rangle,\\
H_1(C;\rho) &= \langle \tilde{x} \otimes W \rangle,\\
H_2(D;\rho) &= \left\langle i^D_*([S] \otimes W) \right\rangle,\\
H_1(D;\rho) &= \left\langle \tilde{p} \otimes W, \tilde{t} \otimes W \right\rangle,\\
H_0(D;\rho) &= \langle \tilde{v} \otimes W \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

with $W := \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z^2 - z^{-2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. So the exact sequence becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xrightarrow{\delta_3} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} H_2(E;\rho)\\
&\xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} H_1(E;\rho)\\
&\xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\psi_0} H_0(E;\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

We will study the homomorphisms φ_k $(k = 0, 1, 2)$.

- φ_2: We know that i^C_* sends $[S] \otimes W$ to $i^C_*([S] \otimes W)$. Moreover from the calculation above, we see that i^D_* sends $[S] \otimes W$ to $i^D_*([S] \otimes W)$. Therefore φ_2 is injective.
- φ_0: We can also see that φ_0 is the identity.

- φ_1: Since $\mu_C = x$ and $\lambda_C = y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1}$ from § 3, we see that i_*^C sends $\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes W$ to $\tilde{x} \otimes W \in H_1(C;\rho)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes W$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&i_*^C(\tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes W)\\
=&\left(\frac{\partial(y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1})}{\partial\, x}\tilde{x}\right)\otimes W + \left(\frac{\partial(y(xy)^{2a}x^{-4a-1})}{\partial\, y}\tilde{y}\right)\otimes W\\
=&\tilde{x}\otimes\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2a-1}(YX)^kY - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{4a+1}X^{-k}\right)(YX)^{2a}Y\right)W + \tilde{y}\otimes\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2a}(XY)^k\right)W\\
=&\frac{2a+1}{(\omega_1+1)^2}\begin{pmatrix}
-2z^{-4}((2\omega_1^2+3\omega_1+2)z^4-\omega_1)\\
z^{-6}(-(\omega_1^2+4\omega_1+1)z^8+(3\omega_1^2+2\omega_1+3)z^4-2\omega_1)\\
-2z^{-8}(z^4+1)(z^4-\omega_1)(\omega_1z^4-1)\\
2\omega_1(z^4+1)\\
z^{-2}(z^4+1)(2\omega_1z^4-\omega_1^2-1)\\
-2z^{-4}(z^4+1)(z^4-\omega_1)(\omega_1z^4-1)
\end{pmatrix}\\
=&-2(2a+1)\begin{pmatrix}2\\ z^2-z^{-2}\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\end{pmatrix} + (z^2+z^{-2})\frac{D_2}{(\omega_1^2-1)^2} - 2(z^4-z^{-4})\frac{D_3}{(\omega_1^2-1)^2}\\
=&-2(2a+1)\tilde{x}\otimes W \in H_1(C;\rho),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{D_2}{(\omega_1^2-1)^2}$ and $\frac{D_3}{(\omega_1^2-1)^2}$ are the second ant the third columns of ∂_2^C, respectively (see (6.7) and (6.8)). Note that this is consistent with (6.6).

Since $\mu_C = ptpt^{-1}$ and $\lambda_C = t(ptpt^{-1})^{-b}$ in $\pi_1(D)$ as before, i_*^D sends $\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes W$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&i_*^D(\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes W)\\
=&\left(\frac{\partial(ptpt^{-1})}{\partial\, p}\tilde{p}\right)\otimes W + \left(\frac{\partial(ptpt^{-1})}{\partial\, t}\tilde{t}\right)\otimes W\\
=&\tilde{p}\otimes(I_3+TP)W + \tilde{t}\otimes(P-T^{-1}PTP)W\\
=&\begin{pmatrix}4\\ 2(z^2-z^{-2})\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\end{pmatrix}\\
=&2\tilde{p}\otimes W \in H_1(D;\rho),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes W$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&i_*^D(\tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes W)\\
=&\left(\frac{\partial(t(ptpt^{-1})^{-b})}{\partial p}\tilde{p}\right)\otimes W + \left(\frac{\partial(t(ptpt^{-1})^{-b})}{\partial t}\tilde{t}\right)\otimes W\\
=&\tilde{p}\otimes\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{b}(P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T)^kT - \sum_{k=0}^{b-1}P^{-1}T(P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T)^kT\right)W\\
&+\tilde{t}\otimes\left(I_3+\sum_{k=0}^{b-1}(P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T)^kT - \sum_{k=0}^{b-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T(P^{-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}T)^kT\right)W\\
=&\begin{pmatrix}-4b\\ -2b(z^2-z^{-2})\\ 0\\ 2\\ z^2-z^{-2}\\ 0\end{pmatrix}\\
=&-2b\tilde{p}\otimes W+\tilde{t}\otimes W\in H_1(D;\rho).
\end{aligned}
$$

So φ_1 is presented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}1 & -2(2a+1)\\ 2 & -2b\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the bases mentioned above. It is clear that φ_1 is injective. Therefore we have $H_0(E;\rho) = \{0\}$, $H_2(E;\rho) = \mathbb{C}$, which is generated by $(j_*^D \circ i_*^D)([S]\otimes W)$, and $H_1(E;\rho) = \mathbb{C}$, which is generated by $j_*^D(\tilde{p})\otimes W$. From Remark 6.6, $H_2(E;\rho)$ is also generated by $(j_*^D \circ i_*^D)([\Sigma]\otimes W)$

Now the bases for $\mathcal{H}$ are given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle [S]\otimes W\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_7^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle i_*^C([S]\otimes W), i_*^D([S]\otimes W)\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_6^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle (j_*^D\circ i_*^D)([S]\otimes W)\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \tilde{\mu}_C\otimes W, \tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes W\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_4^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \tilde{x}\otimes W, \tilde{p}\otimes W, \tilde{t}\otimes W\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_3^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle j_*^D(\tilde{p}\otimes W)\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_2^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \tilde{v}\otimes W\rangle,\\
\mathbf{c}_1^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \tilde{v}\otimes W\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $\mathbf{b}_i^{\mathcal{H}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}},\\
\mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle i_*^D([S]\otimes W)\right\rangle,\\
\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}},\\
\mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \tilde{p}\otimes W\rangle,\\
\mathbf{b}_2^{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbf{c}_2^{\mathcal{H}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the torsion of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence equals

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*) &= \pm\frac{\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_7^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}}) \mid \mathbf{c}_3^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_2^{\mathcal{H}}) \mid \mathbf{c}_1^{\mathcal{H}}\right]}{\left[\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}}) \mid \mathbf{c}_6^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_4^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\mathbf{b}_2^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_2^{\mathcal{H}}\right]} \\ &= \pm\frac{1}{\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_4^{\mathcal{H}}\right]} \\ &= \pm\frac{1}{\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2(2a+1) & 0 \\ 2 & -2b & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \\ &= \pm 1.\end{aligned}$$

So we finally have

$$\operatorname{Tor}(E;\rho) = \pm\frac{2a+1}{2}\left(\frac{z^{8a-2b+3}+z^{-8a+2b-3}}{\omega_1-\omega_1^{-1}}\right)^2$$

from (6.9).

Remark 6.7. Since ρ_D is Abelian, W is also invariant under the adjoint action of any element in $\pi_1(D)$; especially it is invariant under the adjoint action of any element in $\pi_1(\Sigma)$.

6.4. **Both** $\operatorname{Im}\rho_C$ **and** $\operatorname{Im}\rho_D$ **are non-Abelian.** Let ρ be the representation defined in Subsection 4.4. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6.8. *Let γ be the element in $H_1(E;\rho)$ such that $\delta_1(\gamma) = \tilde{v}\otimes\tilde{U} \in H_0(E;\rho)$, where δ_1 is the connecting homomorphism of the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence associated with the triple $(C, D, C\cap D = S)$, v is the basepoint in S, $\tilde{v}$ is its lift in the universal cover, and $\tilde{U} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2;\mathbb{C})$ is invariant under the adjoint action of any element in $H_1(S)$. Then the Reidemeister torsion $\operatorname{Tor}(E;\rho)$ of E twisted by the adjoint action of the representation ρ associated with the meridian and γ is given by*

$$\operatorname{Tor}(E;\rho) = \pm\frac{(2a+1)(4(2a+1)-(2b+1))}{4(\omega_1-\omega_1^{-1})^2}.$$

Corollary 6.9. *Let $\rho^{\mathrm{NN}}_{\xi,l,m}$ be the representation ρ with $z = \exp(\xi/2)$ and $\omega_1 = \exp\left(\frac{(2l+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2a+1}\right)$ and $\omega_3 = \exp\left(\frac{(2m+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{2b+1-4(2a+1)}\right)$. Then we have*

$$\operatorname{Tor}(E;\rho^{\mathrm{NN}}_{\xi,l,m}) = \pm\frac{(2a+1)(2b+1-4(2a+1))}{16\sin^2\left(\frac{(2l+1)\pi}{2a+1}\right)} = \pm\tau_2(\xi;k)^{-2}.$$

The rest of this subsection will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.8

6.4.1. *Reidemeister torsion of S.* As before, we regard S as a cell complex with one 0-cell v (the basepoint), two 1-cells μ_C and λ_C, and one 2-cell f.

From §4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\mu_C) = \rho(x) &= \theta_3^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\omega_3 & 1\\ 0 & \omega_3^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\theta_3 \\ \rho(\lambda_C) &= \theta_3^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}-\omega_3^{-4a-2} & \frac{\omega_3^{4a+2}-\omega_3^{-4a-2}}{\omega_3-\omega_3^{-1}} \\ 0 & -\omega_3^{4a+2}\end{pmatrix}\theta_3^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since these are obtained from those in Subsection 4.3 by replacing z^2 with ω_3 and taking conjugation by θ_3, the Reidemeister torsion is

$$\operatorname{Tor}(S;\rho) = \pm 1.$$

We give generators of the twisted homologies. From the calculation in Subsection 6.3, we see that

- $H_2(S;\rho)=\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $[S]\otimes\tilde{W}$,
- $H_1(S;\rho)=\mathbb{C}^2$ is generated by $\{\tilde{\mu}_C\otimes\tilde{W},\tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes\tilde{W}\}$, and
- $H_0(S;\rho)=\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $\tilde{v}\otimes\tilde{W}$.

where $\tilde{W}:=\Theta_3\begin{pmatrix}2\\ \omega_3-\omega_3^{-1}\\ 0\end{pmatrix}$ with

$$\Theta_3:=\begin{pmatrix}z^{-1} & 0 & 0\\ \omega_3^{-1}-z^{-2} & 1 & 0\\ -\omega_3^{-2}z^{-3}(z^2-\omega_3)^2 & 2(z^{-1}-\omega_3^{-1}z) & z\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\Theta_3=\tilde{\Theta}_1\begin{pmatrix}z^{-1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & z\end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\tilde{W}=\tilde{\Theta}_1\begin{pmatrix}2z^{-1}\\ \omega_3-\omega_3^{-1}\\ 0\end{pmatrix}=z^{-1}\tilde{U}$$

with

$$\tilde{U}:=\tilde{\Theta}_1\begin{pmatrix}2\\ (\omega_3-\omega_3^{-1})z\\ 0\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Theta}_1:=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\ -z^{-1}+\omega_3^{-1}z & 1 & 0\\ -(\omega_3^{-1}z-z^{-1})^2 & 2z^{-1}-2\omega_3^{-1}z & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\tilde{U}$ ($\tilde{\Theta}_1$, respectively) is obtained from U (Θ_1, respectively) by replacing ω_2 with ω_3.

So we can choose generators as follows without changing the Reidemeister torsion:

- $H_2(S;\rho)=\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $[S]\otimes\tilde{U}$,
- $H_1(S;\rho)=\mathbb{C}^2$ is generated by $\{\tilde{\mu}_C\otimes\tilde{U},\tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes\tilde{U}\}$, and
- $H_0(S;\rho)=\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $\tilde{v}\otimes\tilde{U}$.

6.4.2. *Reidemeister torsion of C.* The matrices $\rho(x)$ and $\rho(y)$ are given from those in Subsection 4.3 by replacing z^2 with ω_3 and taking conjugation by θ_3. Since the Reidemeister torsion of C in Subsection 6.3 does not involve z, the Reidemeister torsion in this case is the same and we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}(C;\rho)=\pm\frac{2a+1}{2(\omega_1-\omega_1^{-1})^2}.$$

Generators of the twisted homologies are given as follows:

- $H_2(C;\rho)=\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $i_*^C([S]\otimes\tilde{U})$, and
- $H_1(C;\rho)=\mathbb{C}$ is generated by $\tilde{x}\otimes\tilde{U}$.

6.4.3. *Reidemeister torsion of D.* The matrix $\rho(p)$ is the same as that in Subsection 6.2. The adjoint action of $\rho(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\Theta}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \omega_3^{-8a+2b-2} & \frac{2\omega_3(1-\omega_3^{-8a+2b-2})}{(\omega_3^2-1)z} & -\frac{\omega_3^{-8a+2b}(\omega_3^{8a-2b+2}-1)^2}{(\omega_3^2-1)^2z^2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{\omega_3(\omega_3^{8a-2b+2}-1)}{(\omega_2^2-1)z} \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_2^{8a-2b+2} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\Theta}_1^{-1} \\
=&\tilde{\Theta}_1 \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_3 & \frac{2\omega_3}{(\omega_3-1)z} & \frac{\omega_3}{(\omega_3-1)^2z^2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{(\omega_3-1)z} \\ 0 & 0 & -\omega_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\Theta}_1^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

since $\omega_3^{8a-2b+3} = -1$. Therefore the adjoint actions of $\rho(p)$ and $\rho(t)$ are obtained from those in Subsection 6.2 by replacing ω_2 with ω_3, and so we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}(D;\rho) = \pm\frac{1}{2}.$$

Generators of the twisted homologies are given as follows:

- $H_2(D;\rho) = \mathbb{C}^2$ is generated by $\tilde{f}\otimes\tilde{U}$ and $\tilde{f}\otimes\tilde{V}$, and
- $H_1(D;\rho) = \mathbb{C}^2$ is generated by $\tilde{p}\otimes\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{t}\otimes\tilde{U}$,

where $\tilde{U} := \tilde{\Theta}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ (\omega_3 - \omega_3^{-1})z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as before and $\tilde{V} := \tilde{\Theta}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z + z^{-1} - 2\omega_3^{-1}z \\ 2\omega_3^{-2}(\omega_3-1)(z^2-\omega_3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ z - z^{-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, which are obtained from U and V by replacing ω_2 with ω_3, respectively.

Note that we have $\tilde{f}\otimes\tilde{U} = i^D_*([S]\otimes\tilde{U})$ and $\tilde{f}\otimes\tilde{V} = i^D_*([\Sigma]\otimes\tilde{V})$.

6.4.4. *Reidemeister torsion of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence.* From (6.4) we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}(E;\rho) = \frac{\operatorname{Tor}(C;\rho)\operatorname{Tor}(D;\rho)}{\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*)} = \pm\frac{2a+1}{4(\omega_1-\omega_1^{-1})^2\operatorname{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*)}, \tag{6.10}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_*$ is the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence (6.2).

We calculate the torsion of the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence with respect to the bases that we have calculated:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2(S;\rho) &= \left\langle [S]\otimes\tilde{U} \right\rangle, \\
H_1(S;\rho) &= \left\langle \tilde{\mu}_C\otimes\tilde{U}, \tilde{\lambda}_C\otimes\tilde{U} \right\rangle, \\
H_0(S;\rho) &= \left\langle \tilde{v}\otimes\tilde{U} \right\rangle, \\
H_2(C;\rho) &= \left\langle i^C_*([S]\otimes\tilde{U}) \right\rangle, \\
H_1(C;\rho) &= \left\langle \tilde{x}\otimes\tilde{U} \right\rangle, \\
H_2(D;\rho) &= \left\langle i^D_*([S]\otimes\tilde{U}), i^D_*([\Sigma]\otimes\tilde{V}) \right\rangle, \\
H_1(D;\rho) &= \left\langle \tilde{p}\otimes\tilde{V}, \tilde{t}\otimes\tilde{U} \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

So we see that $H_0(E;\rho) = \{0\}$ and have the following exact sequence.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xrightarrow{\delta_3} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\psi_2} H_2(E;\rho) \\
&\xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\psi_1} H_1(E;\rho) \\
&\xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \{0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will study the homomorphisms φ_k $(k = 1, 2)$.

- φ_2: As in the calculation in Subsection 6.2, i_*^D sends $[S] \otimes \tilde{U})$ to $i_*^D([S] \otimes \tilde{U})$. Moreover as in the calculation in Subsection 6.3, i_*^C sends $[S] \otimes \tilde{U}$ to $i_*^C([S] \otimes \tilde{U})$. Therefore φ_2 is injective.
- φ_1: By calculation similar to that in Subsection 6.3, we see that i_*^C sends $\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes \tilde{U}$ to $\tilde{x} \otimes \tilde{U} \in H_1(C; \rho)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes \tilde{U}$ to $-2(2a+1)\tilde{x} \otimes \tilde{U} \in H_1(C; \rho)$.
  By calculation similar to that in Subsection 6.2, we see that i_*^D sends $\tilde{\mu}_C \otimes \tilde{U}$ to $-2\tilde{t} \otimes \tilde{U} \in H_1(D; \rho)$, and $\tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes \tilde{U}$ to $(2b+1)\tilde{t} \otimes \tilde{U} \in H_1(D; \rho)$.
  So φ_1 is presented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2(2a+1) \\ 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2b+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the bases mentioned above. It is clear that φ_1 is injective.

Therefore we have $H_0(E; \rho) = \{0\}$, $H_2(E; \rho) = \mathbb{C}^2$, which is generated by $\{(j_*^D \circ i_*^D([S] \otimes \tilde{U}), (j_*^D \circ i_*^D)([\Sigma] \otimes \tilde{V})\}$, and $H_1(E; \rho) = \mathbb{C}^2$, which is generated by $\{j_*^D(\tilde{p} \otimes \tilde{V}), \gamma\}$, where we choose γ so that $\delta_1(\gamma) = \tilde{v} \otimes \tilde{U}$.

Now the bases for $\mathcal{H}$ are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle [S] \otimes U \rangle, \\
\mathbf{c}_7^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle i_*^C([S] \otimes \tilde{U}), i_*^D([S] \otimes \tilde{U}), i_*^D([\Sigma] \otimes \tilde{V}) \right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{c}_6^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle (j_*^D * i_*^D)([S] \otimes \tilde{U}), (j_*^D * i_*^D)([\Sigma] \otimes \tilde{V}) \right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \tilde{\mu}_C \otimes \tilde{U}, \tilde{\lambda}_C \otimes \tilde{U} \right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{c}_4^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \tilde{x} \otimes \tilde{U}, \tilde{p} \otimes \tilde{V}, \tilde{t} \otimes \tilde{U} \right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{c}_3^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle j_*^D(\tilde{p} \otimes \tilde{V}), \gamma \right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{c}_2^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \tilde{v} \otimes \tilde{U} \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We choose $\mathbf{b}_i^{\mathcal{H}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}}, \\
\mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle i_*^D([S] \otimes \tilde{U}), i_*^D([\Sigma] \otimes \tilde{V}) \right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}}, \\
\mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\langle \tilde{p} \otimes \tilde{V} \right\rangle, \\
\mathbf{b}_3^{\mathcal{H}} &= \langle \gamma \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the torsion of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence equals

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tor}(\mathcal{H}_*) &= \pm\frac{\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_7^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_5^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_3^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_3^{\mathcal{H}}\right]}{\left[\mathbf{b}_8^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_8^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_7^{\mathcal{H}}) \mid \mathbf{c}_6^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_4^{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_3^{\mathcal{H}}) \mid \mathbf{c}_2^{\mathcal{H}}\right]} \\
&= \pm\frac{1}{\left[\partial(\mathbf{b}_5^{\mathcal{H}}) \cup \mathbf{b}_4^{\mathcal{H}} \mid \mathbf{c}_4^{\mathcal{H}}\right]} \\
&= \pm\frac{1}{\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2(2a+1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 2b+1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} \\
&= \pm\frac{1}{(2b+1)-4(2a+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we finally have

$$\mathrm{Tor}(E;\rho) = \pm\frac{(2a+1)(4(2a+1)-(2b+1))}{4(\omega_1-\omega_1^{-1})^2}$$

from (6.10).

Remark 6.10. In [27, 3.6.3] the author calculated the twisted Alexander polynomial and tried in vain to obtain the twisted Reidemeister torsion.

References

[1] J. W. Alexander, *Topological invariants of knots and links*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (1928), no. 2, 275–306. MR 1 501 429

[2] J. E. Andersen and S. K. Hansen, *Asymptotics of the quantum invariants for surgeries on the figure 8 knot*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **15** (2006), no. 4, 479–548. MR MR2221531

[3] T. Dimofte and S. Garoufalidis, *The quantum content of the gluing equations*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 3, 1253–1315. MR 3073925

[4] T. Dimofte and S. Gukov, *Quantum field theory and the volume conjecture*, Chern-Simons gauge theory: 20 years after, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 19–42. MR 2809445 (2012j:58025)

[5] T. Dimofte, S. Gukov, J. Lenells, and D. Zagier, *Exact results for perturbative Chern-Simons theory with complex gauge group*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **3** (2009), no. 2, 363–443. MR 2551896 (2010k:58038)

[6] J. Dubois, *Non abelian twisted Reidemeister torsion for fibered knots*, Canad. Math. Bull. **49** (2006), no. 1, 55–71. MR 2198719 (2006k:57064)

[7] J. Dubois and R. M. Kashaev, *On the asymptotic expansion of the colored Jones polynomial for torus knots*, Math. Ann. **339** (2007), no. 4, 757–782.

[8] R. H. Fox, *Free differential calculus. I. Derivation in the free group ring*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), 547–560. MR 0053938 (14,843d)

[9] M. Gromov, *Volume and bounded cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1982), no. 56, 5–99 (1983). MR 84h:53053

[10] S. Gukov, *Three-dimensional quantum gravity, Chern-Simons theory, and the A-polynomial*, Comm. Math. Phys. **255** (2005), no. 3, 577–627. MR MR2134725

[11] S. Gukov and H. Murakami, $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ *Chern-Simons theory and the asymptotic behavior of the colored Jones polynomial*, Modular Forms and String Duality (N. Yui, H. Verrill, and C.F. Doran, eds.), Fields Inst. Comm., vol. 54, Amer. Math. Soc. and Fields Inst., 2008, pp. 261–278.

[12] K. Hikami and H. Murakami, *Representations and the colored Jones polynomial of a torus knot*, Chern-Simons gauge theory: 20 years after, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 153–171. MR 2809451 (2012f:57028)

[13] W. H. Jaco and P. B. Shalen, *Seifert fibered spaces in* 3*-manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1979), no. 220, viii+192. MR 81c:57010

[14] K. Johannson, *Homotopy equivalences of* 3*-manifolds with boundaries*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 761, Springer, Berlin, 1979. MR 82c:57005

[15] V. F. R. Jones, *A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **12** (1985), no. 1, 103–111. MR 86e:57006

[16] R. M. Kashaev, *A link invariant from quantum dilogarithm*, Modern Phys. Lett. A **10** (1995), no. 19, 1409–1418. MR 96j:81060
[17] ———, *The hyperbolic volume of knots from the quantum dilogarithm*, Lett. Math. Phys. **39** (1997), no. 3, 269–275. MR 98b:57012
[18] R. M. Kashaev and O. Tirkkonen, *A proof of the volume conjecture on torus knots*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **269** (2000), no. Vopr. Kvant. Teor. Polya i Stat. Fiz. 16, 262–268, 370. MR 1 805 865
[19] A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Representations of the algebra $U_q(\mathrm{sl}(2))$, q-orthogonal polynomials and invariants of links*, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988), Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., vol. 7, World Sci. Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, 1989, pp. 285–339. MR MR1026957 (90m:17022)
[20] P. Kirk and C. Livingston, *Twisted Alexander invariants, Reidemeister torsion, and Casson-Gordon invariants*, Topology **38** (1999), no. 3, 635–661. MR 1670420 (2000c:57010)
[21] W. B. R. Lickorish, *An introduction to knot theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 175, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. MR 98f:57015
[22] J. Milnor, *A duality theorem for Reidemeister torsion*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 137–147. MR MR0141115 (25 #4526)
[23] ———, *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 358–426. MR MR0196736 (33 #4922)
[24] H. Murakami, *The colored Jones polynomials and the Alexander polynomial of the figure-eight knot*, JP J. Geom. Topol. **7** (2007), no. 2, 249–269. MR MR2349300 (2008g:57014)
[25] ———, *An introduction to the volume conjecture and its generalizations*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **33** (2008), no. 3, 219–253. MR MR2501844
[26] ———, *The coloured Jones polynomial, the Chern-Simons invariant, and the Reidemeister torsion of the figure-eight knot*, J. Topol. **6** (2013), no. 1, 193–216. MR 3029425
[27] ———, *The colored Jones polynomial, the Chern-Simons invariant, and the Reidemeister torsion of a twice-iterated torus knot*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **39** (2014), no. 4, 649–710. MR 3292589
[28] H. Murakami and J. Murakami, *The colored Jones polynomials and the simplicial volume of a knot*, Acta Math. **186** (2001), no. 1, 85–104. MR 2002b:57005
[29] H. Murakami, J. Murakami, M. Okamoto, T. Takata, and Y. Yokota, *Kashaev's conjecture and the Chern-Simons invariants of knots and links*, Experiment. Math. **11** (2002), no. 3, 427–435. MR 1 959 752
[30] H. Murakami and Y. Yokota, *The colored Jones polynomials of the figure-eight knot and its Dehn surgery spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **607** (2007), 47–68. MR MR2338120
[31] T. Ohtsuki, *On the asymptotic expansion of the kashaev invariant of the 5_2 knot*, preprint Available at http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~tomotada/paper/ki52.pdf.
[32] T. Ohtsuki and T. Takata, *On the Kashaev invariant and the twisted Reidemeister torsion of two-bridge knots*, Geom. Topol. **19** (2015), no. 2, 853–952. MR 3336275
[33] J. Porti, *Torsion de Reidemeister pour les variétés hyperboliques*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (1997), no. 612, x+139. MR MR1396960 (98g:57034)
[34] V. Turaev, *Introduction to combinatorial torsions*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001, Notes taken by Felix Schlenk. MR 1809561 (2001m:57042)
[35] F. Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of generalized free products. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **108** (1978), no. 1, 135–204. MR 0498807 (58 #16845a)
[36] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica 9*, 2013.
[37] D. Zagier, *Quantum modular forms*, Quanta of maths, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 659–675. MR 2757599 (2012a:11066)

Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Aramaki-aza-Aoba 6-3-09, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan
E-mail address: starshea@tky3.3web.ne.jp